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Tasks for laboratory work on the academic discipline and guidelines to them are given to help students gain practical skills in the use of the tools of economic and mathematical modeling while studying complex socioeconomic processes and systems.

For Master's (second) degree students of speciality 122 "Computer Science".

## Introduction

The rapid development and wide application of the newest packages of applied programs and computer technology tools necessitate the formation of a specialist in business intelligence and information systems having new competences aimed at acquiring knowledge and skills in the use of econometric and mathematical modeling for the analysis of complex, mass socioeconomic phenomena and processes in various spheres of activity.

At present, the demand for specialists who combine the competence in the application of intelligent information and computer processing systems, the use of modern software products, IT technologies and technological tools in professional, in particular, entrepreneurial activity with the competences of a business analyst for substantiation and making management and business decisions is the main trend in the national and international labor markets. This discipline is a response to the contemporary needs of the community which provides students with an in-depth understanding of the business context of any socioeconomic processes and enables them to solve the problems associated with analytical work in the IT-industry.

The performance of laboratory work tasks aims to develop students' skills in the extension and deepening of theoretical knowledge and acquisition of professional competences in forecasting of socioeconomic processes and modeling of complex systems.

Studying this discipline enables the student:
to get acquainted with available statistical methods and models;
to identify the main features of modeling and forecasting of complex socioeconomic systems;
to study socioeconomic processes using econometric models, additive prediction models, factor analysis, cluster and discriminant analysis, analysis of weakly formalized situations using expert analysis.

Statistical thinking for science about data is one of the basic disciplines of the master's program "Business Analysis and Information Systems in Entrepreneurship".

# Content module 1. The methodological bases of statistical modeling and forecasting 

# Topic 1. The categorical basis of statistical modeling and forecasting 


#### Abstract

Laboratory work 1 Introduction to the Statistica 8.0 package. Study of the statistical characteristics of the variational series. Checking the dynamic rows for the law of normal distribution


The purpose of the work is to consolidate the theoretical and practical skills in studying the statistical characteristics of the variation series and to check the law of distribution of the dynamic series in the package Statistica 8.0.

The task is to analyze the variation series using descriptive statistics and verify the law of distribution of the dynamic series.

## Guidelines

1. Work should begin with the launch of the Statistica package, which is similar to running other applications - through the START menu or using a shortcut.

The Statistica system window consists of the following main elements: the title bar, the menu bar, the toolbar, the workspace and the status bar.

The title bar contains an icon, the name of the program Statistica, and three buttons for managing the size of the main window: the button minimizing the size of the window; the window resizing button; the button closing the window.

The menu bar occupies the second row of the main module window, and if there is an open data file in the workspace, it contains the so-called drop-down menu: File, Edit, View, Insert, Format, Statistics, Data mining, Graphs, Tools, Data, Window, Help.

The toolbar contains buttons for quick access to the most commonly used menu commands.

The workspace in which the various documents are displayed takes up most of the main window:

1) the spreadsheet with the source data. When you first open Statistica in the workspace, a new $10 \times 10$ file with the name Spreadsheet.sta opens automatically;
2) the startup window of the statistical analysis module used;
3) electronic spreadsheets with results of analysis;
4) graphing tools;
5) the self-timer window.

The status bar is located at the very bottom of the system window.
Depending on the state in which the system is located, the status bar contains a quick access button to the main statistical modules and menu items, as well as displays different information and allows you to control the operation of the system.

When processing data and constructing graphs, the status bar contains a progress bar that reflects the degree of completeness of data processing and the timer, which reflects the time elapsed since the beginning of the processing.

As an example, consider the value of capital investment in the regions (the source of information is the official site of the State Statistics Service of Ukraine), Table 1.1.

Table 1.1

## Capital investment on a regional basis in 2018

| No. | Regions of Ukraine | The volume of the capital investment, mln UAH |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | Vinnytsya | 17626.5 |
| 2 | Volyn | 8687.0 |
| 3 | Dnipropetrovsk | 60288.6 |
| 4 | Donetsk | 26979.4 |
| 5 | Zhytomyr | 8742.3 |
| 6 | Zakarpattya | 7500.6 |
| 7 | Zaporizhzhya | 15732.0 |
| 8 | Ivano-Frankivsk | 9393.7 |
| 9 | Kyiv | 40713.4 |
| 10 | Kirovohrad | 7181.5 |
| 11 | Luhansk | 3219.3 |
| 12 | Lviv | 28995.5 |
| 13 | Mikolayiv | 10099.2 |

Table 1.1 (the end)

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 14 | Odesa | 23787,8 |
| 15 | Poltava | 18636,7 |
| 16 | Rivne | 7228,0 |
| 17 | Sumy | 7749,9 |
| 18 | Ternopil | 8375,0 |
| 19 | Kharkiv | 23551,3 |
| 20 | Kherson | 8853,2 |
| 21 | Khmelnytskiy | 11274,9 |
| 22 | Cherkasy | 11110,4 |
| 23 | Chernivtsi | 3720,6 |
| 24 | Chernihiv | 8971,3 |
| 25 | City of Kyiv | 200308,3 |

To do this, in the File menu of the Statistica system, select the New tab. As a result, the window for creating a new file will open (Fig. 1.1).


Fig. 1.1. Creating a new file

You need to create a spreadsheet with one variable (1st column) and 25 observations (rows) and enter numerical values into it. After that, the spreadsheet will look like this (Fig. 1.2).

| 罒 Data: Spreadsheet4* (1v by 25c) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | The volume of the capital investment |
| Vinnytsya | 17626,5 |
| Volyn | 8687 |
| Dnipropetrovsk | 60288,6 |
| Donetsk | 26979,4 |
| Zhytomyr | 8742,3 |
| Zakarpattya | 7500,6 |
| Zaporizhzhya | 15732 |
| lvano-Frankivsk | 9393,7 |
| Kyiv | 40713,4 |
| Kirovohrad | 7181,5 |
| Luhansk | 3219,3 |
| Lviv | 28995,5 |
| Mikolayiv | 10099,2 |
| Odesa | 23787, 8 |
| Poltava | 18636,7 |
| Rivne | 7228 |
| Sumy | 7749,9 |
| Ternopil | 8375 |
| Kharkiv | 23551,3 |
| Kherson | 8853,2 |
| Khmelnytskiy | 11274,9 |
| Cherkasy | 11110,4 |
| Chernivtsi | 3720,6 |
| Chernihiv | 8971,3 |
| City of Kyiv | 200308,3 |

Fig. 1.2. The input data in Statistica 8.0
In the File menu, click Save and save the file in the created folder under any name (for example, Regions.sta).

You can change the structure of the spreadsheet using the Data menu, choosing a variety of basic monitoring functions and variables:

- add and delete;
- cut and paste;
- sort and standardize;
- transpose and move, etc.

The calculation of the basic numerical characteristics of the investigated variation series can be carried out using descriptive statistics. From the

Statistics menu, select Basic statistics / Tables. In the window, select Descriptive statistics, which will open a window for calculating the complex descriptive statistics (Fig. 1.3).


Fig. 1.3. The window of Basic statistics
Next, you need to go to the Advanced tab and select the metrics you want to calculate by checking the boxes next to them as shown in Fig. 1.4.


Fig. 1.4. Choosing the parameters of Descriptive statistics

Thus, for the analysis the following indicators are chosen: Mean arithmetic mean; Median; Mode; Standard deviation; Variance; Skewness; Kurtosis; Minimum \& Maximum; Range; Coefficient of variation.

The system will calculate these indicators and present the results in the form of a table (Fig. 1.5) after the button Summay is pressed.

|  | Descriptive Statistics (Spreadsheet4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Mean | Median | Mode | Frequency of Mode | Minimum | Maximum | Range | Variance | Std. Dev. | Standard Error | Skewness | Kurtosis |
| The volume of the capital investment | 23149,06 | 10099,20 | Multiple |  | 3219,300 | 200308,3 | 197089,0 | 1,528310E+09 | 39093,61 | 7818,722 | 4,216404 | 19,22350 |

## Fig. 1.5. The results of the function Descriptive statistics

Statistica has a powerful graphical toolkit: histograms, point and line graphs, two-dimensional and three-dimensional diagrams, etc. Let's consider some types of statistical 2D histograms.

2D Histograms are graphical representations of the frequency distribution of selected variables. For each interval (class) a column is drawn, whose height is proportional to the frequency of the class. The histogram clearly shows which values or ranges of values of the investigated variable are the most frequent ones, how different they are, how the majority of observations are concentrated around the average, whether the distribution is symmetrical or not, whether it has one or several modes. There are several types of histograms:

2D Regular (ordinary) Histograms which are frequency distribution barcharts for a selected variable (in case of more than one variable, a separate diagram is built for each of them);

2D Multiple Histograms which represent the frequency distribution for several variables in a single graph. Frequencies for all variables are placed on the left axis $Y$. The values of all the studied variables are placed on the same axis $X$, which facilitates the comparison of the analyzed variables;

2D Double-Y (double-axis Y) Histograms. The histogram with a double axis $Y$ can be considered a combination of two differently scalable histogram components. You can select two different groups of variables for this histogram. For each of the selected variables, the frequency distribution will be depicted, but the frequency of the variables from the first list, called Left $Y$ (left axis $Y$ ), is set on the left axis $Y$, and the frequency variables from the second list, the so-called Right $Y$ (right-axis $Y$ ), are set aside, on the right axis $Y$.

This graph is useful for visual comparison of the distributions of variables with different frequencies;

2D Hanging Bar (hanging columns) Histograms. The hanging column hologram is a "clear criterion for verifying the normality of distribution" which helps to identify the distribution areas where there are differences between observed and expected normal frequencies. It is believed that the columns representing the frequencies observed for the successive value ranges are "suspended" to the most suitable normal curve. If the investigated distribution is close to the normal curve, the lower edges of all columns should form a straight horizontal line.

For example, let's construct a histogram of the distribution of regions depending on the size of capital investments. To do this, in the Graphs menu, select Histograms, then select the Capital investment variable and choose the function Normal fit. The result of the analysis is presented in Fig. 1.6.


Fig. 1.6. Visualization of the variable Capital investment
2. In order to verify the normal distribution law, it is necessary to investigate the index of industrial production in the period from 2017 to 2018 (Table 1.2).
2.1. Calculation of basic statistics.

In order to perform computational procedures, you must enter the Statistics / Basic Statistics / Tables menu. In the window, you need to select Descriptive Statistics. In the startup panel of the module, using the Variable button (variables), you must specify the output parameters of the model. In this case, it is the Index column (Fig. 1.7).

## The index of industrial production in the period from 2017 to 2018

| Period (months of <br> $2016-2017)$ | Indices of industrial <br> production in <br> Ukraine | Period (months of <br> $2016-2017)$ | Indices of industrial <br> production in Ukraine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01.16 | 99.0 | 01.17 | 105.6 |
| 02.16 | 108.6 | 02.17 | 95.4 |
| 03.16 | 105.8 | 03.17 | 100.4 |
| 04.16 | 104.5 | 04.17 | 97.3 |
| 05.16 | 104.5 | 05.17 | 99.3 |
| 06.16 | 104.5 | 06.17 | 93.9 |
| 07.16 | 101.0 | 07.17 | 98.0 |
| 08.16 | 103.8 | 08.17 | 101.2 |
| 09.16 | 97.1 | 09.17 | 98.7 |
| 10.16 | 102.6 | 10.17 | 103.8 |
| 11.16 | 100.5 | 11.17 | 99.6 |
| 12.16 | 102.3 | 12.17 | 97.4 |
|  |  |  |  |

At the next step, select the basic statistics for calculations, as shown in Fig. 1.7, such as: Valid $N$ (number of observations), Mean, Sum, Median, Mode, Standard Deviation, Variance, Std. err of mean (mean error), Skewness (asymmetry coefficient), Std. err of skewness (error of asymmetry coefficient), Kurtosis (coefficient of excess), Std. err of Kurtosis, Minimum \& Maximum, Range (Sample Swap).


Fig. 1.7. Choosing the parameters of the Descriptive statistics

The results of the calculation are shown in Fig. 1.8.

|  | Descipitive Statisics (Spreadsheti4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variale | Valid N | Mean | Mevian | Mode | Frequency of Mode | Sum | Minimum | Maximum | Ranye | Variace | Sta. Depl. | Coeit.Var. | Standard Erior | Skeymess | Stidert. <br> Skemess | Kutrosis | Std.En. <br> Kurtosis |
| Indices of industria p production in Ukaine | $2410101,33331100,550110045000$ |  |  |  | 32242880099390000 |  |  | 108,000 14,7000 |  | $13,9580$ | $303,647711$ | 3, 310404 | 40.745856 | 0,44876 | 0.472261 | . 0,52085 | 0,91777 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 1.8. The results of the function Descriptive statistics
Based on the calculations made it can be concluded that the average index of industrial production is 101.03 \%. In the period from January 2017 to December 2018, the highest value of the index of industrial output was 108.6 \%, and the smallest one was 93.9 \%. The median value is closer to the mean value, indicating that the spread of values is not large. The definition of the distribution form is carried out using the following criteria:

- the value of the asymmetry ratio exceeds 0 in the case of the right asymmetry and less than 0 in the case of the left asymmetry. In our case, a right-side asymmetry is observed;
- the value of the coefficient of excess is greater than 0 in the case of a spin-off distribution and less than 0 in the case of a flat-distributive distribution. The sample being studied is flat-top, because the coefficient of excess is negative.
2.2. Construction of a distribution polygon (frequency polygon).

To do this, go to the Graphs / 2D Graphs / Line Plots menu (variables) and select a variable.



Fig. 1.9. The distribution polygon

From Fig. 1.9 it is clear that for the indicator under research it is quite difficult to characterize a certain tendency. However, the highest growth of the index of industrial output was noted in February 2017, and a significant reduction - in June 2018.
3. Check the sample for the normal distribution law.
3.1. Calculation of the number of intervals of grouping. The number of intervals of grouping is calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=1+3.322 \lg n, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the length of the dynamic series.

$$
\mathrm{m}=1+3.322 \lg 24=5.585 \approx 6 .
$$

3.2. Further analysis is carried out as part of the sample verification for the normal distribution law. Calculate the Pearson criterion to draw a final conclusion on the nature of the distribution of magnitude. To do this, in the main menu Statistics select the Distribution Fitting module. Fig. 1.10 shows the choice of the distribution law that is being tested.


Fig. 1.10. Choosing the parameters in the Distribution Fitting module

Next, define the parameters of the calculation, as shown in Fig. 1.11. The parameter window indicates the number of intervals, the average index of industry, the minimum and maximum value of the indicator.


Fig. 1.11. Choosing the parameters in the Distribution Fitting module
The results of the calculations are shown in Fig. 1.12.

|  | Variable: Indices of industrial production in Ukraine, Distribution: Normal (Spreadsheet4) <br> Kolmogorou-Smirnov d=0,10096, <br> Chi-Square $=3,76953, d f=1$ (adjusted), $p=0,05219$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Boundary | Observed Frequency | Cumulative Observed | Percent Observed | Cumul. \% Obsenved | Expected Frequency | Cumulative Expected | Percent Expected | Cumul. \% Expected | ObservedExpected |
| < $=96,35000$ | -2 | 2 | 8,33333 | 8,3333 | 2,390081 | 2,39008 | 9,95867 | 9,9587 | -0,39008 |
| 98,80000 | 5 | 7 | 20,83333 | 29,1667 | 4,094339 | 6,48442 | 17,05975 | 27,0184 | 0,90566 |
| 101,25000 | 7 | 14 | 29,16667 | 58,3333 | 6,083958 | 12,56838 | 25,34983 | 52,3682 | 0,91604 |
| 103,70000 | 2 | 16 | 8,33333 | 66,6667 | 5,854653 | 18,42303 | 24,39439 | 76,7626 | -3,85465 |
| 106,15000 | 7 | 23 | 29,16667 | 95,8333 | 3,648514 | 22,07155 | 15,20214 | 91,9648 | 3,35149 |
| < Infinity | 1 | 24 | 4,16667 | 100,0000 | 1,928454 | 24,00000 | 8,03523 | 100,0000 | -0,92845 |

Fig. 1.12. The results of the calculation

In the normal distribution, the values of the Pearson criterion and the Kolmogorov - Smirnov criteria are less than the critical value. For the degree of freedom $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p}-1=4$ (where k is the number of distribution intervals, p is the number of parameters to be checked) and the significance level $\alpha=0.01$, the table value of the Pearson criterion is 13.3. Calculations show that Pearson's criterion is 3.77 for the industrial production index. The critical value of the Kolmogorov - Smirnov criterion with the length of the sample of 24 observation and $\alpha=0.01$ is 0.3255 , and the estimated index of industrial output is 0.101 . Thus, we can conclude that the index of industrial output is distributed according to the normal law.

For clarity, we present the results graphically (Fig. 1.13).

| 込 Fitting Continuous Distributions：Spreadsheet4 | ？$\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Distribution：Nomal $\vee$ | Summan min |
| 漹 Variable： 1 | Cancel |
|  | 园 Options－ |
| Quick Parameters ${ }^{\text {Options }}$｜ |  |
| Wmin Summay：Observed and expected distribution | 罵 ByGroup |
| Finl Plot of observed and expected distribution |  |



Fig．1．13．The distribution histogram with Pearson and Kolmogorov－Smirnov criteria

Also，graphic confirmation of distribution normality can be verified by initializing the normal probability plot（Fig．1．14）and using the Normality tab （Fig．1．15）．

| Zin Descriptive Statistics：Spredsheet4 | ？ X |
| :---: | :---: |
| 漹 Vaindes： 1 | 㭵 Sumary |
|  | Cancel |
| 约 20 scolteplot with names $⿴$ N Nomal probability plot | 20ptions |
|  | 泪 By Group．．． |
| 呵 Categoized scatterpot Deternded nomal pobabiliy plot |  |
| （4）Suffee plot |  |
| W Scaterplotmatix | Cusss § © W |
|  | Wghtd momints |
|  | $D F=$ |
|  | MD deletion |
|  | OCasewise |
|  | OPaimise |



Fig．1．14．The normal probability plot

According to Fig. 1.14 the actual values of the investigated index of location is closely along the theoretical normal line, hence the hypothesis of normality does not deviate, which indicates the normal law of data distribution.



Fig. 1.15. The histogram with the normal distribution curve

Tasks for independent work
It is necessary to find spatial one-dimensional data (at least 30 observations) and perform statistical analysis using descriptive statistics and graphic procedures, check your ranks for normal distribution law and draw conclusions (give the economic interpretation of the results).

## Topic 2. Regression models as a means of researching economic processes

## Laboratory work 2 <br> Development of a single-factor and multiple regression model

The purpose of the work is to consolidate the theoretical and practical material, to acquire skills in the development and analysis of simple and complex econometric models in the package Statistica 8.0.

The task is to check the existence of a linear relationship between the macroeconomic indicators in the Multiple Regression Statistica module.

## Guidelines

Task 1. Development of a single-factor regression model.
It is necessary: 1) to develop a linear econometric model and determine all its characteristics (model parameters, mean square deviation of model parameters, variance and mean square deviation of model errors, coefficients of correlation and determination); 2) to check the statistical significance of the model parameters and correlation coefficient according to Student's criterion; to check the adequacy of the model according to Fisher's criterion; 3) to calculate the theoretical values of the dependent variable and model error; to plot a linear function graph with confidence intervals; to plot a histogram and error distribution graph on the normal probability paper; 4) to calculate the predictive value of the dependent variable and confidence intervals of the change if the value of the independent indicator is known; 5) to draw conclusions about the adequacy of the built model, give an economic interpretation of this dependence and the possibility of using the model.

The input data are given in Table 2.1.

1. In the package Statistica 8.0, we introduce the initial data - the level of the GDP (y) and the direct foreign investment in the economy of Ukraine ( x ). In addition, the factor x is a factor sign, since it affects the resultant (the effect sign) which is y (Fig. 2.1).

Table 2.1
The level of the GDP and direct investment in the economy of Ukraine in 2001-2018

| Years | GDP, billion UAH | Direct investments, million dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 148.9 | 423.6 |
| 2002 | 159.8 | 483.5 |
| 2003 | 162.5 | 896.9 |
| 2004 | 165.8 | 1438.2 |
| 2005 | 186.5 | 2063.6 |
| 2006 | 192.5 | 2810.7 |
| 2007 | 198.9 | 3281.8 |
| 2008 | 221.6 | 3875 |
| 2009 | 225.8 | 4555.3 |
| 2010 | 267.3 | 5471.8 |
| 2011 | 345.1 | 6794.4 |
| 2012 | 441.5 | 9047 |
| 2013 | 544.2 | 16890 |
| 2014 | 720.7 | 21607.3 |
| 2015 | 948.1 | 29542.7 |
| 2016 | 913.3 | 35616.4 |
| 2017 | 1082.6 | 40053 |
| 2018 | 1316.6 | 44806 |


| 11. Data: Spreadsheet2* (2v by 18c) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{GDP}_{(y)}^{(y)}$ | Direct investments <br> (×) |
| 1 | 148,9 | 423,6 |
| 2 | 159,8 | 483,5 |
| 3 | 162,5 | 896.9 |
| 4 | 165,8 | 1438,2 |
| 5 | 186,5 | 2063,6 |
| 6 | 192,5 | 2810,7 |
| 7 | 198,9 | 3281.8 |
| 8 | 221,6 | 3875 |
| 9 | 225,8 | 4555,3 |
| 10 | 267,3 | 5471.8 |
| 11 | 345,1 | 6794.4 |
| 12 | 441.5 | 9047 |
| 13 | 544,2 | 16890 |
| 14 | 720,7 | 21607,3 |
| 15 | 948,1 | 29542,7 |
| 16 | 913,3 | 35616.4 |
| 17 | 1082,6 | 40053 |
| 18 | 1316,6 | 44806 |

Fig. 2.1. The initial data
2. First let's analyze the influence of the factor sign on the resultant. For this purpose, in the Statistica 8.0 package, we select Basic statistics and Correlation matrices. Fig. 2.2 shows the main steps of building a correlation matrix.


Fig. 2.2. The steps of building the correlation matrix

Then press Summary: Correlations and get the results (Fig. 2.3).

|  | Correlations (Spreadsheet2) <br> Marked correlations are significant at $p<, 05000$ <br> $\mathrm{N}=18$ (Casewise deletion of missing data) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Means | Std. Dev. | GDP (y) | Direct investments <br> (x) |
| GDP (y) | 457,87 | 374,08 | 1,000000 | 0,992381 |
| Direct investments ( x ) | 12758,73 | 14941,19 | 0,992381 | 1,000000 |

Fig. 2.3. The correlation matrix
The results of building the correlation matrix indicate that the value of the correlation coefficient is 0.992 , which suggests a high level of dependence between the GDP and direct investment.
3. Next, we proceed to building a one-factor linear regression. To start computational procedures, you must enter the Statistics / Multiple Regression menu. After confirming the module selection, a module launcher appears, where you need to set the variables for analysis. In the window that appears, we select the Dependent and Independent Variables in order to build a simple one-factor model. In Fig. 2.4, the stages of building a one-factor model are presented.


Fig. 2.4. The stages of building a one-factor model

When the OK button is pressed, a dialog window (Fig. 2.5) appears with the results of the linear econometric model. The upper part of the window contains basic information about the model, at the bottom there are functional buttons that allow you to comprehensively consider the results of the analysis.


Fig. 2.5. The results of the linear econometric model

The characteristics of the model and the degree of their adequacy can be obtained by clicking the Summary: Regression results button. The results of building a one-factor econometric model are shown in Fig. 2.6.

| $\mathrm{N}=18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regression Summary for Dependent Variable: GDP (V) (Sprea } \\ & \mathrm{R}=, 99238117 \mathrm{R} ?=, 98482039 \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R} ?=, 98387166 \\ & \mathrm{~F}(1,16)=1038,0 \mathrm{p}<, 00000 \text { Std. Error of estimate: } 47,508 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {* }}$ | Std. Err. of $b^{*}$ | b | Std.Err. <br> of $b$ | t (16) | $p$-value |
| Intercept |  |  | 140,8653 | 14,90628 | 9,45006 | 0,000000 |
| Direct investments ( x ) | 0,992381 | 0,030801 | 0,0248 | 0,00077 | 32,21871 | 0,000000 |

Fig. 2.6. The regression results

The obtained results indicate the following:

- the coefficient of multiple correlation ( R ) is 0.9923 . The measured coefficient has the limits from -1 to +1 (if the size of $R$ is close to 1 , then the obtained model is adequate and can be used for the analysis and prediction of economic processes);
- the model's determination coefficient $\left(R^{2}\right)$ is 0.9848 (if the size of $R^{2}$ is close to 1 , then the obtained model is adequate and can be used for the analysis and prediction of economic processes);
- the adjusted determination coefficient based on the number of observations and the number of parameters is 0.9838 (adjusted $R^{2}$ );
- the Fisher's eligibility criterion $F(1,16)=1038$ (the Fisher's criterion is used to assess the statistical significance of the coefficient of determination. If the values obtained are more than the tabular ones, then $R^{2}$ is significant and the model is adequate);
- $b\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(140.87 ; 0.0248)$ are the parameters of the model;
- the mean square deviation of the model parameters is (14.09; 0.0248);
- $\mathrm{t}(28)=(9.45 ; 32.22)$ the significance of the parameters according to the Student's criterion (the Student's criterion is used to assess the statistical significance of the coefficient of correlation. If the values obtained are more than the tabular ones, then R is significant and the model is adequate).

The analysis of the above results shows that the model is adequate and has the following general view:

$$
Y=140.87+0.0248 X .
$$

Let's plot a linear function graph with confidence intervals. To do this, you must specify the variables, the level line and the confidence intervals in the Graphs / Scatterplots menu (Fig. 2.7):


Fig. 2.7. The linear function graph with the confidence intervals
The analysis of the graph proves high quality of the built model and the correspondence of the model values to the actual ones.

To calculate and analyze the model's residuals, at the bottom of the window of the results of the regression model there is the option Perform residual analysis (Fig. 2.8).


Fig. 2.8. Choosing the option Perform residual analysis
Using this option, we get a menu to analyze the model errors (Fig. 2.9).


Fig. 2.9. The mode Residual analysis
The Summary Analysis button: Residuals and Predicted allows you to get a table containing the actual values of the dependent variable (Observed value), its theoretical values (Predicted value) and model errors (Residual) (Fig. 2.10).

| Case No. | Predicted \& Residual Values (Spreadsheet2) Dependent variable: GDP (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed Value | Predicted Value | Residual | Standard Pred v | Standard Residual | Std.Err. Pred. Val | Mahalanobis Distance | Deleted Residual | Cook's Distance |
| 1 | 148,900 | 151,390 | -2,490 | -0,825579 | -0,05242 | 14,69271 | 0,681581 | -2,754 | 0,000161 |
| 2 | 159,800 | 152,879 | 6,922 | -0,821570 | 0,14569 | 14,66284 | 0,674977 | 7,650 | 0,001235 |
| 3 | 162,500 | 163,150 | -0,650 | -0,793902 | -0,01368 | 14,45907 | 0,630280 | -0,716 | 0,000011 |
| 4 | 165,800 | 176,599 | -10,799 | -0,757673 | -0,22732 | 14,19866 | 0,574068 | -11,858 | 0,002783 |
| 5 | 186,500 | 192,138 | -5,638 | $-0,715815$ | -0,11868 | 13,90732 | 0,512392 | -6,167 | 0,000722 |
| 6 | 192,500 | 210,701 | -18,201 | -0,665813 | -0,38311 | 13,57356 | 0,443306 | -19,819 | 0,007103 |
| 7 | 198,900 | 222,406 | -23,506 | -0,634282 | -0,49478 | 13,37159 | 0,402314 | -25,528 | 0,011437 |
| 8 | 221,600 | 237,145 | -15,545 | -0,594580 | -0,32720 | 13,12715 | 0,353525 | -16,830 | 0,004791 |
| 9 | 225,800 | 254,048 | -28,248 | -0,549048 | -0,59459 | 12,86114 | 0,301454 | -30,481 | 0,015085 |
| 10 | 267,300 | 276,819 | -9,519 | $-0,487708$ | -0,20037 | 12,52860 | 0,237859 | -10,231 | 0,001613 |
| 11 | 345,100 | 309,681 | 35,419 | -0,399187 | 0,74555 | 12,10549 | 0,159351 | 37,879 | 0,020638 |
| 12 | 441,500 | 365,649 | 75,850 | -0,248423 | 1,59660 | 11,55770 | 0,061714 | 80,622 | 0,085226 |
| 13 | 544,200 | 560,519 | -16,319 | 0,276502 | -0,34350 | 11,64205 | 0,076453 | -17,361 | 0,004010 |
| 14 | 720,700 | 677,726 | 42,974 | 0,592226 | 0,90457 | 13,11302 | 0,350732 | 46,518 | 0,036523 |
| 15 | 948,100 | 874,891 | 73,209 | 1,123335 | 1,54099 | 17,11485 | 1,261882 | 84,127 | 0,203488 |
| 16 | 913,300 | 1025,800 | -112,500 | 1,529842 | -2,36804 | 20,88318 | 2,340418 | -139,444 | 0,832367 |
| 17 | 1082,600 | 1136,033 | -53,433 | 1,826780 | -1,12472 | 23,84183 | 3,337125 | -71,421 | 0,284608 |
| 18 | 1316,600 | 1254,127 | 62.473 | 2,144894 | 1,31501 | 27,13248 | 4,600569 | 92,714 | 0,621139 |
| Minimum | 148,900 | 151,390 | -112,500 | -0,825579 | -2,36804 | 11,55770 | 0,061714 | -139,444 | 0,000011 |
| Maximum | 1316,600 | 1254,127 | 75,850 | 2,144894 | 1,59660 | 27,13248 | 4,600569 | 92,714 | 0,832367 |
| Mean | 457,872 | 457,872 | -0,000 | 0,000000 | -0,00000 | 15,26518 | 0,944444 | -0,172 | 0,118497 |
| Median | 246,550 | 265,433 | -7,579 | -0,518378 | -0,15952 | 13,74044 | 0,477849 | -8,199 | 0,009270 |

Fig. 2.10. The summary analysis: the residuals and the predicted values

Since the basic hypothesis concerning a random variable says that the errors should be distributed according to the normal distribution law, let's present the graph of the model errors on the normal probability paper (Residuals / Normal plot of residuals) (Fig. 2.11).



Fig. 2.11. The normal plot of residuals

According to the graph, it is difficult to conclude on the law of the distribution of model errors, so for a more detailed analysis we will plot a histogram of their values with plotting a graph of the normal distribution law (Fig. 2.12).



Fig. 2.12. The histogram of the distribution of the model errors
4. Since the model is adequate and its parameters are significant, the model can be used to make a forecast. To calculate the predictive values of a dependent variable, the Predict dependent variable option is at the bottom of the regression analysis results window (Fig. 2.13).


Fig. 2.13. Choosing the option Predict dependent variable
Initiating the appropriate option, you need to specify the amount of direct investment by 2019 (Fig. 2.14).


Fig. 2.14. The input parameter

The results of the forecasting are presented in the form of a table, which additionally presents the model parameters and the value of the confidence interval of the forecast (Fig. 2.15).

|  | Predicting Values for (Spreadsheet2) <br> variable: GDP (y) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | b-Wreight | Value | b-Weight <br> * Value |
|  | 0,024846 | 49362,30 | 1226,469 |
| Intercept |  |  | 140,865 |
| Predicted |  |  | 1367,334 |
| $-95,0 \% \mathrm{CL}$ |  |  | 1302,958 |
| $+95,0 \% \mathrm{CL}$ |  |  | 1431,711 |

Fig. 2.15. The results of the forecast

The forecast value of the GDP (Predicted) is 1367.334; the confidence interval of the predicted values is $1302.958<\mathrm{u}<1431.711$.

Conclusion. In the work, the analysis of a one-factor linear econometric model of significant dependence of GDP on direct foreign investment in Ukraine was carried out. The developed model is adequate and can be used to make a forecast and evaluate the impact of the exogenous variable.

## Task 2. Building a multiple regression model.

To check the existence of linear multiplicity of the link between GDP and socioeconomic indicators (Table 2.2 shows the values for Ukraine in 2005 - 2018), it is necessary: 1) to build a linear multifactor econometric model of the influence of socioeconomic indicators on GDP and determine all its characteristics (model parameters, mean square deviation of model parameters, variance and mean square deviation of model errors, coefficients of multiple correlation and determination); 2) to check the statistical significance of the model parameters, the coefficient of multiple correlation; check the adequacy of the model according to the Fisher criterion; 3) to calculate the theoretical values of the dependent variable and the model error; to plot a linear function graph with confidence intervals; 4) to calculate the predictive value of the dependent variable and confidence intervals of the change if the value of the independent indicators is known; 5) to draw conclusions about the adequacy of the built multifactorial model, and give an economic interpretation of the model as a whole.

The input data for building a multifactor econometric model

| Years | Production <br> output, thou <br> UAH (X1) | Volume of retail <br> turnover of <br> enterprises (legal <br> entities), mln (X2) | Average cost <br> per month per <br> household, <br> UAH (X3) | Direct <br> investments, <br> million <br> dollars (X4) | The <br> GDP, <br> billion <br> UAH (Y) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 226358 | 19317 | 395.6 | 2063.6 | 186.5 |
| 2006 | 356842 | 22151 | 426.5 | 2810.7 | 192.5 |
| 2007 | 373893 | 28757 | 541.3 | 3281.8 | 198.9 |
| 2008 | 460520 | 34417 | 607 | 3875 | 221.6 |
| 2009 | 504008 | 39691 | 658.3 | 4555.3 | 225.8 |
| 2010 | 603704 | 49994 | 736.8 | 5471.8 | 267.3 |
| 2011 | 809988 | 67556 | 903.5 | 6794.4 | 345.1 |
| 2012 | 995630 | 94332 | 1229.4 | 9047 | 441.5 |
| 2013 | 1182179 | 129952 | 1442.8 | 16890 | 544.2 |
| 2014 | 1565055 | 178233 | 1722 | 21607.3 | 720.7 |
| 2015 | 2072172 | 246903 | 2590.4 | 29542.7 | 948.1 |
| 2016 | 1955685 | 230955 | 2754.1 | 35616.4 | 913.3 |
| 2017 | 2388289 | 280890 | 3072.7 | 40053 | 1082.6 |
| 2018 | 2496365 | 350059 | 3456 | 44806 | 1316.6 |

1. According to the algorithm of building a one-factor regression model, calculations were made for a multifactor model (Fig. 2.16).

| 17. Data: Spreadsheet14* (5v by 14c) |  |  |  |  |  | LMutiple Linear Regression: Spreadsheet14 Quick \|Adyanced| | $\text { ? } \quad \mathrm{X}$ <br> OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \times 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \times 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \times 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \times 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & Y \end{aligned}$ | 四 Variables | Cancel |
| 1 | 226358 | 19317 | 395,6 | 2063,6 | 186,5 | Dependent: Y | O Otions - |
| 2 | 356842 | 22151 | 426,5 | 2810,7 | 192,5 | Independent X1:X4 | A Oplions - |
| 3 | 373893 | 28757 | 541,3 | 3281, 8 | 198,9 |  | Gazor Data |
| 4 | 460520 | 34417 | 607 | 3875 | 221,6 |  |  |
| 5 | 504008 | 39691 | 658,3 | 4555,3 | 225,8 |  |  |
| 6 | 603704 | 49994 | 736,8 | 5471,8 | 267,3 |  | $\square$ Weiphed |
| 7 | 809988 | 67556 | 903,5 | 6794,4 | 345,1 |  | moments |
| 8 | 995630 | 94332 | 1229,4 | 9047 | 441,5 |  |  |
| 9 | 1182179 | 129952 | 1442,8 | 16890 | 544,2 |  | Owl |
| 10 | 1565055 | 178233 | 1722 | 21607,3 | 720,7 |  | MD deletion |
| 11 | 2072172 | 246903 | 2590,4 | 29542,7 | 948,1 |  | O Casewise |
| 12 | 1955685 | 230955 | 2754,1 | 35616,4 | 913,3 |  | $\bigcirc$ Pairwise |
| 13 | 2388289 | 280890 | 3072,7 | 40053 | 1082,6 |  | OMean |
| 14 | 2496365 | 350059 | 3456 | 44806 | 1316,6 | See also the General Regression Mdels (6RMM) module. |  |

Fig. 2.16. The stages of building a multifactor model


Fig. 2.16. The stages of building a multifactor model (the end)
2. In order to determine the parameters and quality of the model, you must initiate the Summary: Regression results button. The results of the calculations are shown in Fig. 2.17.

| $\mathrm{N}=14$ | Regression Summary for Dependent Variable: Y (Spreadsheet14) $\mathrm{R}=, 99970109 \mathrm{R} ?=, 99940228$ Adjusted R ? $=, 99913662$ $F(4,9)=3762,0 p<, 00000$ Std. Error of estimate: 11,293 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{b}^{\text {* }}$ | Std.Err. of $b^{*}$ | b | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. Err. } \\ \text { of } b \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | t(9) | $p$-value |
| Intercept |  |  | 104,8863 | 11,93802 | 8,78590 | 0,000010 |
| X1 | -0,022602 | 0,073626 | -0,0000 | 0,00004 | -0,30699 | 0,765837 |
| X2 | 0,955608 | 0,082814 | 0,0033 | 0,00029 | 11,53916 | 0,000001 |
| X3 | 0,036765 | 0,111982 | 0,0132 | 0,04019 | 0,32831 | 0,750184 |
| X4 | 0,030262 | 0,081871 | 0,0008 | 0,00205 | 0,36963 | 0,720203 |

Fig. 2.17. Regression results

The results can be interpreted as follows:

1) the coefficient of the multiple correlation is equal to $0.997(R)$. Since the value of the coefficient is strongly approximated to 1 , we can speak about the adequacy of the model;
2) the model's determination coefficient is $0.999\left(R^{2}\right)$. This ratio shows how much the data obtained using the model corresponds to the real data. Since the coefficient is close to 1 , the adequacy of the model is confirmed;
3) the adjusted determination coefficient of the number of observations and the number of parameters is equal to 0.999 (adjusted $R^{2}$ );
4) the Fisher criterion adequacy $F(4,9)=3762$ (the obtained value is more than the tabular one, which confirms the adequacy of the model);
5) the mean square error of the model is 11.93 ;
$6)$ the vector of model parameters has the following form $B\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right.$, $\left.a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(104.88 ;-0.001 ; 0.033 ; 0.00132 ; 0.0008)$. Thus you can form a general view of the model:

$$
Y=104.88-0.001 X_{1}+0.033 X_{2}+0.0000132 X_{3}+0.0008 X_{4}
$$

7) the vector values of the Student's criterion $t(9)=(8.79 ;-0.3 ; 11.54$; 0.37 ), proves the significance of the model parameters.

Based on the analysis of the obtained results, one can say that this model is generally adequate and qualitative, but the model parameters for variables $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 3$, and X 4 are not significant, because the significance level of $p$ is greater than 0.005 .

To determine the mean and average deviations of the samples of all variables in the error analysis menu, we initiate Descriptive statistics / Means \& Standard deviations (Fig. 2.18).


Fig. 2.18. The Descriptive statistics mode

As a result of the calculations, the average values, the mean square deviation for exogenous and endogenous variables were estimated (Fig. 2.19).

| Variable | Means and Standard Deviations (Spreadshe |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Means | Std.Dev. | N |  |
| x1 | 114219: | 805422, | 14 |  |
| x2 | 12665 | 111015,i | 14 |  |
| x3 | 1467 | 1070,\& | 14 |  |
| x4 | 1617! | 15342, | 14 |  |
| $y$ | 54き | 384, $¢$ | 14 |  |

Fig. 2.19. The results of the analysis

Under the multifactor model, the error analysis is performed according to the same algorithm as for the one-factor model. Fig. 2.20 shows the results of the calculation of theoretical values for the model and model errors.

| Case No. | Predicted \& Residual Values (Spreadsheet14) Dependent variable: $Y$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed Value | Predicted Value | Residual | Standard Pred. v. | Standard Residual | Std. Err. Pred. Val | Mahalanobis Distance | Deleted Residual | Cook's Distance |
| 1 | 186,500 | 173,134 | 13,3661 | -0,963169 | 1,18360 | 6,29854 | 3,11557 | 19,4017 | 0,183652 |
| 2 | 192,500 | 182,076 | 10,4238 | -0,939895 | 0,92306 | 5,16409 | 1,78996 | 13,1800 | 0,056971 |
| 3 | 198,900 | 205,618 | -6,7182 | -0,878621 | -0,59492 | 4,72080 | 1,34327 | -8,1409 | 0,018164 |
| 4 | 221,600 | 224,725 | -3,1250 | -0,828891 | -0,27673 | 4,13811 | 0,81705 | -3,6097 | 0,002744 |
| 5 | 225,800 | 242,896 | -17,0961 | $-0,781596$ | -1,51391 | 4,01566 | 0,71527 | -19,5708 | 0,075957 |
| 6 | 267,300 | 277,636 | -10,3359 | -0,691177 | -0,91527 | 3,76245 | 0,51450 | -11,6266 | 0,023533 |
| 7 | 345,100 | 336,712 | 8,3879 | -0,537417 | 0,74277 | 5,04079 | 1,66169 | 10,4750 | 0,034288 |
| 8 | 441,500 | 429,298 | 12,2016 | -0,296438 | 1,08048 | 8,01382 | 5,61819 | 24,5800 | 0,477175 |
| 9 | 544,200 | 553,886 | -9,6861 | 0,027832 | -0,85773 | 4,22389 | 0,89017 | -11,2616 | 0,027827 |
| 10 | 720,700 | 716,740 | 3,9596 | 0,451700 | 0,35063 | 9,31109 | 7,90930 | 12,3674 | 0,163078 |
| 11 | 948,100 | 955,920 | -7,8199 | 1,074223 | -0,69248 | 7,22370 | 4,39087 | -13,2359 | 0,112425 |
| 12 | 913,300 | 911,181 | 2,1189 | 0,957780 | 0,18763 | 9,15263 | 7,61104 | 6,1756 | 0,039291 |
| 13 | 1082,600 | 1079,278 | 3,3220 | 1,395293 | 0,29417 | 7,66353 | 5,05836 | 6,1580 | 0,027389 |
| 14 | 1316,600 | 1315,599 | 1,0013 | 2,010375 | 0,08867 | 10,61817 | 10,56476 | 8,6399 | 0,103503 |
| Minimum | 186,500 | 173,134 | -17,0961 | -0,963169 | -1,51391 | 3,76245 | 0,51450 | -19,5708 | 0,002744 |
| Maximum | 1316,600 | 1315,599 | 13,3661 | 2,010375 | 1,18360 | 10,61817 | 10,56476 | 24,5800 | 0,477175 |
| Mean | 543,193 | 543,193 | -0,0000 | -0,000000 | -0,00000 | 6,38195 | 3,71429 | 2,3951 | 0,096143 |
| Median | 393,300 | 383,005 | 1,5601 | -0,416928 | 0,13815 | 5,73132 | 2,45276 | 6,1668 | 0,048131 |

Fig. 2.20. The results of the calculation of the model errors

The greatest value of the error model is observed in 2009. One can conclude that during this period, the development of the country's economy significantly differed from the entire analyzed period.

Fig. 2.21 shows the modeling error distribution area.


Fig. 2.21. The modeling error distribution

Fig. 2.22 shows the pattern distribution of the model.


Fig. 2.22. The histogram of the model with the distribution curve

The calculations given in the graphs prove the hypothesis of the normal distribution law of model errors.

Conclusion. The performed calculations show that the model is adequate if the value of X 1 changes by 1 , the value of Y decreases by an average of 0.0001 ; when changing the value of $X 2$ by 1 , the value of $Y$ increases on average by 0.0033 ; when the $X 3$ value changes by 1 , the $Y$ value increases by an average of 0.0132 ; when the X 4 value changes by 1 , the Y value increases by an average of 0.008 . Due to the influence of factors unaccounted for in the model, Y decreases by an average of 104.89, but most of the model parameters are insignificant. Thus, we can assume that there is multicollinearity in the model, thus the forecast is feasible only after it is eliminated.

## Laboratory work 3 <br> Model verification for multicollinearity and the algorithm for elimination of multicollinearity

The purpose of the work is to master the theoretical and practical aspects of the topic, to gain the skills in testing the econometric models for multicollinearity.

The task is to check multicollinearity in the model and eliminate it.

## Guidelines

Using the data from laboratory work 2 (Table 2.2), let's check the econometric model for multicollinearity and eliminate it.

1. For comprehensive verification of the model for multicollinearity, it is expedient to use the Ferrara - Globe algorithm. All calculations by the algorithm should be done in the package MS Excel.
1.1. The first step of the algorithm is the normalization of the output data by formula (3.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sigma}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the value of the indicator $i$;
$\bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the arithmetic mean value of the indicator i ;
$\sigma$ is a standard deviation of the indicator i.
As a result, we obtain a matrix of the normalized data (Tables 3.1, 3.2).
Table 3.1
Calculation of average and standard deviation

| Years | Production <br> output, <br> thou <br> UAH (X1) | Volume of <br> retail turnover <br> of enterprises <br> (legal entities), <br> mln (X2) | Average cost <br> per month per <br> household, <br> UAH (X3) | Direct <br> investments, <br> million <br> dollars (X4) | GDP, <br> billion <br> UAH (Y) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2005 | 226358 | 19317 | 395.6 | 2063.6 | 186.5 |
| 2006 | 356842 | 22151 | 426.5 | 2810.7 | 192.5 |
| 2007 | 373893 | 28757 | 541.3 | 3281.8 | 198.9 |
| 2008 | 460520 | 34417 | 607 | 3875 | 221.6 |

Table 3.1 (the end)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 504008 | 39691 | 658.3 | 4555.3 | 225.8 |
| 2010 | 603704 | 49994 | 736.8 | 5471.8 | 267.3 |
| 2011 | 809988 | 67556 | 903.5 | 6794.4 | 345.1 |
| 2012 | 995630 | 94332 | 1229.4 | 9047 | 441.5 |
| 2013 | 1182179 | 129952 | 1442.8 | 16890 | 544.2 |
| 2014 | 1565055 | 178233 | 1722 | 21607.3 | 720.7 |
| 2015 | 2072172 | 246903 | 2590.4 | 29542.7 | 948.1 |
| 2016 | 1955685 | 230955 | 2754.1 | 35616.4 | 913.3 |
| 2017 | 2388289 | 280890 | 3072.7 | 40053 | 1082.6 |
| 2018 | 2496365 | 350059 | 3456 | 44806 | 1316.6 |
| X average | 1142192 | 126657.6429 | 1466.885714 | 16172.5 | 543.1928571 |
| Standard <br> deviation | 805422.14 | 111015.7743 | 1070.833261 | 15342.796 | 384.3245513 |

Table 3.2
The normalized values of the model output

| Years | X1 | X 2 | X 3 | X 4 | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | -1.137086 | -0.966895 | -1.000423 | -0.919578 | -0.928103 |
| 2006 | -0.975079 | -0.941368 | -0.971566 | -0.870884 | -0.912491 |
| 2007 | -0.953908 | -0.881862 | -0.864360 | -0.840179 | -0.895839 |
| 2008 | -0.846354 | -0.830879 | -0.803006 | -0.801516 | -0.836774 |
| 2009 | -0.792360 | -0.783372 | -0.755100 | -0.757176 | -0.825846 |
| 2010 | -0.668579 | -0.690565 | -0.681792 | -0.697441 | -0.717864 |
| 2011 | -0.412459 | -0.532372 | -0.526119 | -0.611238 | -0.515431 |
| 2012 | -0.181969 | -0.291181 | -0.221777 | -0.464420 | -0.264602 |
| 2013 | 0.049647 | 0.029675 | -0.022492 | 0.046765 | 0.002621 |
| 2014 | 0.525020 | 0.464577 | 0.238239 | 0.354225 | 0.461868 |
| 2015 | 1.154649 | 1.083138 | 1.049196 | 0.871432 | 1.053555 |
| 2016 | 1.010021 | 0.939482 | 1.202068 | 1.267298 | 0.963007 |
| 2017 | 1.547135 | 1.389283 | 1.499593 | 1.556463 | 1.403520 |
| 2018 | 1.681321 | 2.012339 | 1.857539 | 1.866250 | 2.012380 |

With the help of the built-in CORREL function it is necessary to calculate the matrix of pair correlations on the normalized data.

The matrix of correlation coefficients in Excel is constructed using the Correlation tool from the Data analysis package.

On the Data tab in the Analysis group, open the Data analysis package. If the button is not available, you need to add it (Excel Options - Add-ins). In the analysis tools list, select Correlation (Fig. 3.1).

Анализ данных
Инструменты анализа
Двухфакторный дисперсионный анализ
Корреляция
Ковариация
Fig. 3.1. Selection of the option Correlation
Click OK. Set the parameters for data analysis. The input interval is the range of cells with values. Grouping by columns is used (analyzed data are grouped into columns) (Fig. 3.2). The output interval is a reference to the cell from which the matrix is to be built. The size of the range will be determined automatically.


Fig. 3.2. Choosing the parameters for data analysis
The calculated matrix has the following form:

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 | Column 5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.991883322 | 0.991791685 | 0.984448375 | 0.991504147 |
| 0.991883322 | 1 | 0.993498603 | 0.989968653 | 0.999673772 |
| 0.991791685 | 0.993498603 | 1 | 0.994575211 | 0.993841489 |
| 0.984448375 | 0.989968653 | 0.994575211 | 1 | 0.99059894 |
| 0.991504147 | 0.999673772 | 0.993841489 | 0.99059894 | 1 |

A strong direct relationship is found between the values of $Y$ and $X_{1}, X_{2}$, $X_{3}, X_{4}$. The same connection is also found between all factors.

The next step is to find the determinant of the correlation matrix r. The function МОПРЕД returns the matrix determinant. The matrix is written in an array (Fig. 3.3).

|  | B45 | $\cdots \boldsymbol{f r x}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | =МОПРЕД(С37:G41) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 36 |  |  | Соолбец 1 | Соолбец 2 | Соолбец 3 | Cmonбец 4 | Ооолбец 5 |  |
| 37 |  | Столбец 1 | 1 | 0,991883322 | 0,991791685 | 0,984448375 | 0,991504147 |  |
| 38 |  | Столбец 2 | 0,991883322 | 1 | 0,993498603 | 0,989968653 | 0,999673772 |  |
| 39 |  | Столбец 3 | 0,991791685 | 0,993498603 | 1 | 0,994575211 | 0,993841489 |  |
| 40 |  | Столбец 4 | 0,984448375 | 0,989968653 | 0,994575211 | 1 | 0,99059894 |  |
| 41 |  | Столбец 5 | 0,991504147 | 0,999673772 | 0,993841489 | 0,99059894 | 1 |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | det $\|\mathrm{r}\|$ | 1,00164 | E-09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 3.3. The determinant of the correlation matrix r
1.2. Analysis of general multicollinearity of the model is conducted using the Pearson criterion or criterion $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}=-\left[n-1-\frac{1}{6}(2 m+5)\right] \operatorname{Ln}|r| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the number of observations;
m is the number of independent variables;
Ln is the natural logarithm of the number.
To find the natural algorithm ( $\mathrm{Ln}|\mathrm{r}|$ ) you must use the built-in function MS Excel $L n$, which returns the natural algorithm of the number (Fig. 3.4).


Fig. 3.4. Finding the natural algorithm

$$
X^{2}=-\left[14-1-\frac{1}{6}(2 \times 4+5)\right](-20.722)=224.4
$$

At a degree of freedom $1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~m}-1)=1 / 2 \times 4(4-1)=6$ and the levels of significance $\mathrm{a}=0.05$ the tabular (critical value) $\mathrm{X}_{\text {table }}^{2}$ is 12.592:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\text {table }}^{2}(\alpha=0.05 ; \mathrm{k}=6)=12.592=>\left|\mathrm{X}_{\text {calc }}^{2}\right|>\mathrm{X}_{\text {table }}^{2} .
$$

So, the model is characterized by general multicollinearity.
1.3. It is necessary to evaluate the Fisher coefficient by formula (3.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kk}}-1\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}-1}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where F is the Fisher coefficient;
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kk}}$ is diagonal elements of the matrix C .

The matrix $C$ (the dimension of the matrix is $5 \times 5$ ), inversed to the correlation matrix $r$, is determined using the built-in function MODP.

First, we need to select an array whose dimension corresponds to the dimension of the matrix $r$ (in our case, B50:F54.) Then enter the formula and finish the input with a combination of the buttons Ctrl + Shift + Enter.

The calculation of the elements of the matrix C is shown in Fig. 3.5.

|  | B50 | ( $f_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ \{=MOEP(C3 | $\{=\mathrm{MOEP}(\mathrm{C} 37: \mathrm{G41})\}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | A | B | c | D | E | F | G |
| 36 |  |  | Omonбe4 1 | Соолбец 2 | Omonбец 3 | Omonбец 4 | Смолбец 5 |
| 37 |  | Столбец 1 | 1 | 0,991883322 | 0,991791685 | 0,984448375 | 0,991504147 |
| 38 |  | Столбец 2 | 0,991883322 | 1 | 0,993498603 | 0,989968653 | 0,999673772 |
| 39 |  | Столбец 3 | 0,991791685 | 0,993498603 | 1 | 0,994575211 | 0,993841489 |
| 40 |  | Столбец 4 | 0,984448375 | 0,989968653 | 0,994575211 | 1 | 0,99059894 |
| 41 |  | Столбец 5 | 0,991504147 | 0,999673772 | 0,993841489 | 0,99059894 | 1 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | det $\|r\|$ | 1,00164E-09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | $\operatorname{Ln}\|r\|$ | $-20,72162672$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  | 82,47537938 | -80,53925274 | -60,54329354 | 21,29509785 | 37,81383357 |  |
| 51 | $C=R^{\wedge}(-1)=$ | -80,53925274 | 1631,040598 | 25,88752305 | 22,57836838 | -1598, 747707 |  |
| 52 |  | -60,54329354 | 25,88752305 | 191,0777009 | -95,13659361 | -61,50888924 |  |
| 53 |  | 21,29509785 | 22,57836838 | -95,13659361 | 102,4580169 | -50,62928959 |  |
| 54 |  | 37,81383357 | -1598,747707 | -61,50888924 | -50,62928959 | 1673,016985 |  |

Fig. 3.5. The matrix C
Using the diagonal elements of the matrix C , we calculate the F -criterion for each independent variable by the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{11}-1\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}-1}=(82.475-1) \times 14-4 / 4-1=271.58, \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{22}-1\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}-1}=(1631.041-1) \times 14-4 / 4-1=5432.93, \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{3}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{33}-1\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}-1}=(191.078-1) \times 14-4 / 4-1=633.53, \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{4}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{44}-1\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}-1}=(102.458-1) \times 14-4 / 4-1=338.16 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of the obtained Fisher coefficients substantially exceeds the table value for $\alpha=0.05, \mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}=14-4=10, \mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{m}-1=4-1=3$, which is $\mathrm{F}=8.78$. If the calculated value exceeds the table one, then k is multicollinear, so we see that the variables of the model cause multicollinearity.
1.4. Student's coefficient is used to determine the pairwise multicollinearity.

Next, we will determine the partial correlation coefficients, which characterize the tightness of the relationship between the two variables, provided that the other variables are not affected.

Using the matrix $C$ we calculate the partial correlation coefficients (formula 3.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{kj}}=\frac{-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kj}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kk}} \times \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{jj}}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{kj}}$ is an element of the matrix C located in the corresponding k-row and j-column;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{kk}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are the diagonal matrix elements.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}_{12}=\frac{-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kj}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{kk}} \times \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{jj}}}}=-(-80.539) / \sqrt{82.475 \times 1631.041}=0.2196, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{13}=0.482, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{14}=-0.232, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{23}=-0.046, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{24}=-0.055, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{34}=0.68 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The partial correlation coefficients characterize the closeness of the relationship between the two variables, provided that the third one does not affect this relationship.
1.5. On the basis of the found partial correlation coefficients, we find the estimated values of Student's t-criterion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{kj}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{kj}} \times \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}}}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}_{12}=0.7118, \\
& \mathrm{t}_{13}=1.7409, \\
& \mathrm{t}_{14}=-0.7530, \\
& \mathrm{t}_{23}=-0.1468, \\
& \mathrm{t}_{24}=-0.1749, \\
& \mathrm{t}_{34}=2.9323 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to conclude on the presence of multicollinearity, it is necessary to compare the obtained values with the tabular ones.

So, for the level of significance $\mathrm{a}=0.05$ at the $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ degrees of freedom according to the statistical tables of the Student's t-distribution, we find the critical value of the Student's t -criterion: $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }(0.05 ; 10)}=2.23$.

If the actual value of the Student $t$-criterion is more than the tabular one, this indicates the existence of multiconflict between the pairs of factors.

So, it can be argued that there is multicellularity between the variables $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{4}$.

Thus, by analyzing the model for multicollinearity by different methods, we can conclude that the model has multicollinearity. This is due to the presence of a connection of varying degrees between different features.
2. To get rid of multicollinearity we use the methods of step-by-step inclusion and stepwise exclusion of variables.

In the package Statistica in the module Multiple Regression, the Forward stepwise method and the Backward stepwise method are selected in the Start menu in the menu Advanced (Fig. 3.6).

| \% Multiple Linear Regression: Spreadsheet2 | $? \quad \times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quick Advanced | \#\# |
| 㢴 Variables | Cancel |
| Dependent: $Y$ <br> Independent: X1-X4 | 园 Options - |
| Input file: Raw Data $\quad$ - | $\ni$ Open Data |
| Advanced options [stepwise or ridge regression] |  |
| Review descriptive statisties, correlation matrix | Weighted moments |
| $\square$ Extended precision computations | DF = |
| $\square$ Batch processing/reporting | (- W-1 $\bigcirc \mathrm{N}-1$ |
| $\square$ Print/report residual analysis | MD deletion |
| Specify all variables for the analysis; additional models (indep./dep. vars) can be specified later. For stepwise regression etc. check the advanced options check box. | Casewise <br> Pairwise |
| See also the General Regression Models (GRM) module. | Mean substitution |

Fig. 3.6. Choosing the parameters in the module Multiple regression
The first step is to select the method of stepwise exclusion of the parameters (Backward stepwise) (Fig. 3.7).


Fig. 3.6. Choosing the method of stepwise exclusion Backward stepwise

Fig. 3.7 shows the results of building a multifactor model by a step-bystep exclusion method.


Fig. 3.7. The results of the analysis
The implementation of the step-by-step method is carried out by selecting the appropriate menu item. As a result of the implementation of the calculation algorithms, we obtain the final form of the econometric model:

$$
\mathrm{Y}=104.861+0.0035 \mathrm{X}_{2} .
$$

The analysis of all built models make it possible to conclude that there is multicollinearity (linear dependence) caused by errors in the specification, therefore, it is advisable to carry out additional analysis of the model, or to use the methods of curtailing the sign space.

An important prerequisite for building a qualitative regression model using the least squares method is the independence of the values of random deviations $\varepsilon_{i}$ from the values of deviations in all other observations. The absence of dependence guarantees the absence of correlation between any deviations $\left(\sigma\left(\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{j}\right)=\operatorname{cov}\left(\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{j}=0\right.\right.$ if $\left.i \neq j\right)$ and, in particular, between adjacent deviations $\left(\sigma\left(\varepsilon_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)=0\right), \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots$, n .

Autocorrelation (sequential correlation) is defined as the correlation between the observed indicators arranged in time (time series) or in space (cross data). Autocorrelation of residues (deviations) is commonly found in regression analysis when using time series data. If cross data are used, the presence of autocorrelation (spatial correlation) is extremely rare.

Autocorrelation does not prevent finding of the relationship between the investigated index and the factors affecting it, as well as the determination of the parameters and statistical characteristics of the equation, but its presence does not guarantee the reliability of the regression equation and the parameters and the possibility of building confidence intervals. Consequently, autocorrelation is more "dangerous" when making a forecast than when conducting economic analysis.

The consequences of autocorrelation are somewhat similar to those of heteroscedasticity. Among them, the following are usually distinguished.

1. Estimates of parameters, while remaining linear and unshakable, cease to be effective. Consequently, they cease to have the properties of the best linear immutable estimates (BLIE ratings).
2. Dispersion estimates are biased. Most often, dispersion, calculated by standard formulas, is understated, which leads to an increase in t-statistic. This can lead to the recognition of statistically significant explanatory variables, which in reality may not be.
3. The estimation of the regression dispersion $S^{2}=\sum \frac{e_{t}^{2}}{n-m-1}$ is a biased estimate of the actual value $\sigma^{2}$, which in many cases is underscored.
4. In view of the foregoing conclusions, the $t$ - and F-statistics that determine the significance of the regression coefficients and the determination coefficient may not be valid. As a result, the predicted quality of the model deteriorates.

Among the main reasons that cause the appearance of autocorrelation, one can distinguish:

1) specifications;
2) inertia;
3) the effect of the web;
4) smoothing the data.

The presence of autocorrelation is determined by the following criteria:

- the Darwin - Watson criterion;
- the von Neumann criteria;
- the non-cyclic criterion of autocorrelation;
- the cyclic criterion of autocorrelation.

For example, to calculate the Darwin - Watson criterion (statistics), it is possible to use the package Statistica 8.0, the module Multiple Regression. Then choose the tab Residals/assumptions/prediction, initiate the Perform residual analysis button, choose the tab Advanced and click the button Durbin - Watson statistic.

The calculated value of the DW statistics is compared with its critical value, which cannot be completely determined due to its dependence on regressor values, and with the lower $\left(d_{l}\right)$ and upper $\left(d_{u}\right)$ limits of the critical value $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\text {table }}\right): \mathrm{d}_{1} \leq \mathrm{d}_{\text {table }} \leq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{u}}$.

The boundaries $d_{u}$ and $d_{1}$ are selected according to the number of observations ( n ), the number of regressors ( k ) and the level of significance ( $\alpha$ ).

The values of $d_{u}$ and $d_{1}$ are determined by the table of critical values of Durbin - Watson and conclusions are drawn based on the following scheme:

1) if $0<D W<d_{1}$, there is a positive autocorrelation of the residues;
2) if $d_{1} \leq D W \leq d_{u}$, the conclusion about the presence of autocorrelation is not determined (the zone of uncertainty);
3) if $d_{u}<$ DW $<4-d_{u}$, there is no autocorrelation;
4) if $4-d_{u}, \leq D W \leq 4-d_{\mathrm{l}}$, the conclusion about the presence of autocorrelation is not determined;
5) if $4-d_{1}<$ DW $<4$, there is a negative autocorrelation of the residues.

## Topic 3. Modeling and forecasting the development of trends

## Laboratory work 4 Building a time series decomposition model

The purpose of the work is to master the theoretical and practical aspects of the topic, to gain the skills in building decomposition models.

The task is to determine the form of the decomposition model, to identify all the components, to forecast the trend component, to carry out spectral analysis of the cyclic constituent and the composition of the model and check its quality.

## Guidelines

It is necessary to form a dynamic series and present it as a file in the package Statistica 8.0 (Fig. 4.1).

| 11.1 Data: Spreadsheet2* (2v by 32c) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { GDP } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 |

Fig. 4.1. The initial data in Statistica 8.0

Suppose, we know the monthly dynamics of Ukraine's GDP (in million UAH), which is presented in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1

The input data

| Period (T) | The GDP volume of Ukraine |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1st quarter 2011 | 189028 |
| 2nd quarter | 214103 |
| 3rd quarter | 250306 |
| 4th quarter | 259908 |
| 1st quarter 2012 | 217074 |
| 2nd quarter | 255545 |
| 3rd quarter | 300446 |
| 4th quarter | 306281 |
| 1st quarter 2013 | 258591 |
| 2nd quarter | 310277 |
| 3rd quarter | 368488 |
| 4th quarter | 362635 |
| 1st quarter 2014 | 292324 |
| 2nd quarter | 346005 |
| 3rd quarter | 387109 |
| 4th quarter | 379231 |
| 1st quarter 2015 | 303753 |
| 2nd quarter | 354814 |
| 3rd quarter | 398000 |
| 4th quarter | 408631 |
| 1st quarter 2016 | 316905 |
| 2nd quarter | 382391 |
| 3rd quarter | 440476 |
| 4th quarter | 447143 |
| 1st quarter 2017 | 375991 |
| 2nd quarter | 456715 |
| 3rd quarter | 566997 |
| 4th quarter | 588841 |
| 1st quarter 2018 | 455637 |
| 2nd quarter | 535324 |
| 3rd quarter | 669170 |
| 4th quarter | 723051 |
|  |  |

In order to determine the model of decomposition of time series components (additive or multiplicative), we present the initial data in the form of a graph (Fig. 4.2).


Fig. 4.2. The line plot of the GDP

Visual analysis shows the presence of a positive trend and some seasonality. Since the volume of the GDP does not have a constant clearly pronounced tendency to increase or decrease the amplitude of the values, it is recommended that the multiplicative time series model be used, which is generally given by formula (4.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \times \mathrm{I}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T is a trend component;
C is a cyclic component;
S is a seasonal component;
$I$ is a random component.

In the case of a constant amplitude of changes in the values of the time series, it is expedient to use the additive model.

To determine the presence of seasonality and the values of the seasonal lag, we use the Time series analysis module (Fig. 4.3).


Fig. 4.3. The Time series analysis module in Statistica 8.0
Then, we need to choose a variable for analysis - the GDP (Fig. 4.4).


Fig. 4.4. Choosing the parameters in the Time series analysis module
The dialog box for this analysis contains the area where the original and converted time series are stored. The number of copies per row can be set by the user alone (a minimum of 3 is recommended). Your source row is denoted by the locked variable L (LOCK). This means that it will always be saved and will not be deleted after all the manipulations.

Confirmations of visual analysis will be performed analytically, namely through:

1) autocorrelation analysis;
2) Fourier analysis.

Autocorrelation analysis helps to identify seasonality and determine the seasonal lags of the time series. To do this, select the Autocorrelation tab (Fig. 4.5).



Fig. 4.5. The steps of the autocorrelation analysis
As we see in Fig. 4.5, the greatest correlation values fall on the 1st lag, then there is a decline and its maximum autocorrelation coefficient appears in 4 lags. So, in our data there is a trend and seasonality equal to 4 .

To confirm the presence of seasonality and show the presence of hidden seasonalities, which could not be determined using the autocorrelation function, we use the Fourier method. To do this, go back to the previous level (Fig. 4.6).


Fig. 4.6. The Fourier method
Here we are interested in one-dimensional (single series) analysis. On the X -axis, we plot the period and set the spectral plane (Fig. 4.7).


Fig. 4.7. The results of the Fourier method

We obtain a plot of the spectral density over the period. Obviously, the absolute maximum is reached at the point with lag 4, and there is also a seasonal component equal to half a quarter (lag 2). But since the value of the spectral density at this point is smaller, seasonality with lag 4 affects the variability of the data to a greater extent than seasonality with lag 2.

So, using the graphical and analytical methods, we get convinced of the presence of a trend-cyclic and seasonal component in the model.

Decomposition of the time series is carried out based on the following components: trend-cyclic, seasonal and random.

To do this, select the Seasonal Decomposition tab in the start-up panel of the Advanced Linear / Nonlinear Models / Time Series / Forecasting module and set the seasonal decomposition parameters (Fig. 4.8):


Fig. 4.8. Choosing the Time series analysis (TSA)

Specifying the parameters of the seasonal decomposition model, we obtain the following result (Fig. 4.9).

| Case | Seasonal Decomposition: Multipl. season (4); Centered means (Spreadsheet6) GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GDP | Moving Averages | Ratios | Seasonal Factors | Adjusted Series | Smoothed Trend-c. | Irreg. Compon. |
| 1 | 189028, |  |  | 84,7703 | 222988,6 | 219614,0 | 1,015366 |
| 2 | 214103,0 |  |  | 97,2987 | 220047,1 | 223577,9 | 0,984208 |
| 3 | 250306,0 | 231842,0 | 107,9640 | 109,9289 | 227698,0 | 231505,8 | 0,983552 |
| 4 | 259908,0 | 240528,0 | 108,0573 | 108,0021 | 240650,9 | 241353,6 | 0,997089 |
| 5 | 217074,0 | 251975,8 | 86,1488 | 84,7703 | 256073,3 | 252867,6 | 1,012677 |
| 6 | 255545,0 | 264039,9 | 96,7827 | 97,2987 | 262639,6 | 263435,9 | 0,996977 |
| 7 | 300446,0 | 275026,1 | 109,2427 | 109,9289 | 273309,3 | 274834,0 | 0,994452 |
| 8 | 306281,0 | 287057,3 | 106,6968 | 108,0021 | 283588,1 | 287668,0 | 0,985817 |
| 9 | 258591,0 | 302404,0 | 85,5118 | 84,7703 | 305049,2 | 303180,1 | 1,006165 |
| 10 | 310277,0 | 317953,5 | 97,5857 | 97,2987 | 318891,1 | 317393,1 | 1,004720 |
| 11 | 368488,0 | 329214,4 | 111,9295 | 109,9289 | 335205,7 | 329424,9 | 1,017548 |
| 12 | 362635,0 | 337897,0 | 107,3212 | 108,0021 | 335766,7 | 337988,8 | 0,993426 |
| 13 | 292324,0 | 344690,6 | 84,8076 | 84,7703 | 344842,6 | 344959,3 | 0,999662 |
| 14 | 346005,0 | 349092,8 | 99,1155 | 97,2987 | 355611,0 | 349745,3 | 1,016771 |
| 15 | 387109,0 | 352595,9 | 109,7883 | 109,9289 | 352144,8 | 352565,6 | 0,998807 |
| 16 | 379231,0 | 355125,6 | 106,7878 | 108,0021 | 351133,0 | 354957,1 | 0,989227 |
| 17 | 303753,0 | 357588,1 | 84,9449 | 84,7703 | 358324,9 | 357863,0 | 1,001291 |
| 18 | 354814,0 | 362624,5 | 97,8461 | 97,2987 | 364664,6 | 362692,9 | 1,005436 |
| 19 | 398000,0 | 367943,5 | 108,1688 | 109,9289 | 362052,1 | 367151,1 | 0,986112 |
| 20 | 408631,0 | 373034,6 | 109,5424 | 108,0021 | 378354,7 | 373835,5 | 1,012089 |
| 21 | 316905,0 | 381791,3 | 83,0048 | 84,7703 | 373839,8 | 380776,3 | 0,981783 |
| 22 | 382391,0 | 391914,8 | 97,5699 | 97,2987 | 393007,2 | 391161.4 | 1,004719 |
| 23 | 440476,0 | 404114,5 | 108,9978 | 109,9289 | 400691,6 | 403721,9 | 0,992494 |
| 24 | 447143,0 | 420790,8 | 106,2626 | 108,0021 | 414013,3 | 421434,1 | 0,982391 |
| 25 | 375991,0 | 445896,4 | 84,3225 | 84,7703 | 443541,1 | 445990,7 | 0,994508 |
| 26 | 456715,0 | 479423,8 | 95,2633 | 97,2987 | 469394,6 | 476229,1 | 0,985649 |
| 27 | 566997,0 | 507091,8 | 111,8135 | 109,9289 | 515785,1 | 506400,8 | 1,018531 |
| 28 | 588841,0 | 526873,6 | 111,7613 | 108,0021 | 545212,6 | 529086,8 | 1,030479 |
| 29 | 455637,0 | 549471,4 | 82,9228 | 84,7703 | 537496,3 | 547533,4 | 0,981668 |
| 30 | 535324,0 | 579019,3 | 92,4536 | 97,2987 | 550186,0 | 573077,9 | 0,960054 |
| 31 | 669170,0 |  |  | 109,9289 | 608729,7 | 609464,8 | 0,998794 |
| 32 | 723051,0 |  |  | 108,0021 | 669478,8 | 627658,3 | 1,066629 |

Fig. 4.9. The results of TSA in Statistica 8.0

At the next stage of the laboratory work, it is necessary to copy the decomposition results, namely the trend-cyclic, seasonal and random components into the window with the initial data (Fig. 4.10).

|  | 1 | $\stackrel{2}{\text { GDP }}$ | Smoothed Trend-c. | 4 Seasonal Factors | 5 Irreg. Compon. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 | 219614,0 | 84,7703 | 1,015366 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 | 223577.9 | 97,2987 | 0,984208 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 | 231505,8 | 109,9289 | 0,983552 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 | 241353,6 | 108,0021 | 0,997089 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 | 252867.6 | 84,7703 | 1,012677 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 | 263435,9 | 97,2987 | 0,996977 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 | 274834,0 | 109,9289 | 0,994452 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 | 287668,0 | 108,0021 | 0,985817 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 | 303180, 1 | 84,7703 | 1,006165 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 | 317393.1 | 97,2987 | 1,004720 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 | 329424,9 | 109,9289 | 1,017548 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 | 337988,8 | 108,0021 | 0,993426 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 | 344959,3 | 84,7703 | 0,999662 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 | 349745,3 | 97,2987 | 1,016771 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 | 352565,6 | 109,9289 | 0,998807 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 | 354957,1 | 108,0021 | 0,989227 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 | 357863,0 | 84,7703 | 1,001291 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 | 362692.9 | 97,2987 | 1,005436 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 | 367151.1 | 109,9289 | 0,986112 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 | 373835,5 | 108,0021 | 1,012089 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 | 380776,3 | 84,7703 | 0,981783 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 | 391161.4 | 97,2987 | 1,004719 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 | 403721.9 | 109,9289 | 0,992494 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 | 421434,1 | 108,0021 | 0,982391 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 | 445990,7 | 84,7703 | 0,994508 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 | 476229.1 | 97,2987 | 0,985649 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 | 506400,8 | 109,9289 | 1,018531 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 | 529086,8 | 108,0021 | 1,030479 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 | 547533,4 | 84,7703 | 0,981668 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 | 573077.9 | 97,2987 | 0,960054 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 | 609464,8 | 109,9289 | 0,998794 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 | 627658,3 | 108,0021 | 1,066629 |

Fig. 4.10. Adding the decomposition components in the file
Next, we need to visualize the components of the composition model. For this purpose, we need to return to the Analysis tab, go to the charts and alternately choose the variable we need to press the Graph button (Fig. 4.11).


Fig. 4.11. Choosing the parameters

Then we need to build a trend-cycle component (Fig. 4.12).


Fig. 4.12. The trend-cycle component
Then we need to build the seasonal component (Fig. 4.13).


Fig. 4.13. The seasonal component
Then we need to build a random component graph (Fig. 4.14).


Fig. 4.14. The random component

The next step is to construct a regression model in which the independent variable is time ( T ) (Fig. 4.15).


Fig. 4.15. Choosing the parameters
Simulation results are presented in Fig. 4.16.

| $\mathrm{N}=32$ | Regression Summary for Dependent Variable: GDP (Spreadsheet2) $\mathrm{R}=, 89189728 \mathrm{R} ?=, 79548076$ Adjusted $\mathrm{R} ?=, 78866345$ $F(1,30)=116,69 p<, 00000$ Std. Error of estimate: 59155, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {* }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std.Err. } \\ \text { of } \mathrm{b}^{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | b | Std.Err. of $b$ | t(30) | $p$-value |
| Intercept |  |  | 176923,3 | 21414,33 | 8,26191 | 0,000000 |
| T | 0,891897 | 0,082567 | 12234,2 | 1132,57 | 10,80210 | 0,000000 |

Fig. 4.16. Regression model results

So, the model will look like:

$$
\mathrm{Y}=176923.3+12234.2 \mathrm{~T} .
$$

Then, it is necessary to isolate the trend from the trend-cycle component. To do this, add a new variable and a formula for calculating it (Fig. 4.17).


|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & T \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{GDP}}$ | $3$ <br> Smoothed Trend-c. | 4 <br> Seasonal Factors | 5 <br> Irreg. <br> Compon. | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Trend } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 | 219614,0 | 84,7703 | 1,015366 | 189157,5 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 | 223577,9 | 97,2987 | 0,984208 | 201391,7 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 | 231505,8 | 109,9289 | 0,983552 | 213625,9 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 | 241353,6 | 108,0021 | 0,997089 | 225860,1 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 | 252867,6 | 84,7703 | 1,012677 | 238094,3 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 | 263435,9 | 97,2987 | 0,996977 | 250328,5 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 | 274834,0 | 109,9289 | 0,994452 | 262562,7 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 | 287668,0 | 108,0021 | 0,985817 | 274796,9 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 | 303180,1 | 84,7703 | 1,006165 | 287031,1 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 | 317393,1 | 97,2987 | 1,004720 | 299265,3 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 | 329424,9 | 109,9289 | 1,017548 | 311499,5 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 | 337988,8 | 108,0021 | 0,993426 | 323733,7 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 | 344959,3 | 84,7703 | 0,999662 | 335967,9 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 | 349745,3 | 97,2987 | 1,016771 | 348202,1 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 | 352565,6 | 109,9289 | 0,998807 | 360436,3 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 | 354957,1 | 108,0021 | 0,989227 | 372670,5 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 | 357863,0 | 84,7703 | 1,001291 | 384904,7 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 | 362692,9 | 97,2987 | 1,005436 | 397138,9 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 | 367151,1 | 109,9289 | 0,986112 | 409373,1 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 | 373835,5 | 108,0021 | 1,012089 | 421607,3 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 | 380776,3 | 84,7703 | 0,981783 | 433841,5 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 | 391161,4 | 97,2987 | 1,004719 | 446075,7 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 | 403721,9 | 109,9289 | 0,992494 | 458309,9 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 | 421434,1 | 108,0021 | 0,982391 | 470544,1 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 | 445990,7 | 84,7703 | 0,994508 | 482778,3 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 | 476229,1 | 97,2987 | 0,985649 | 495012,5 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 | 506400,8 | 109,9289 | 1,018531 | 507246,7 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 | 529086,8 | 108,0021 | 1,030479 | 519480,9 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 | 547533,4 | 84,7703 | 0,981668 | 531715,1 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 | 573077,9 | 97,2987 | 0,960054 | 543949,3 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 | 609464,8 | 109,9289 | 0,998794 | 556183,5 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 | 627658,3 | 108,0021 | 1,066629 | 568417,7 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 4.17. Adding the trend component
It is necessary to build (visualize) a trend component (Fig. 4.18).


Fig. 4.18. Visualization of the trend component

The values of the cycle component are then calculated as follows: Cycle = Smoothed Trend-c. / Trend (Fig. 4.19).


Fig. 4.19. Adding the cycle component
The window for entering a new variable is shown in Fig. 4.20.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{GDP}}$ | 3 <br> Smoothed Trend-c. | 4 <br> Seasonal Factors | 5 <br> Irreg. <br> Compon. | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Trend } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Cycle } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 | 219614,0 | 84,7703 | 1,015366 | 189157,5 | 1,161011 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 | 223577,9 | 97,2987 | 0,984208 | 201391,7 | 1,110164 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 | 231505,8 | 109,9289 | 0,983552 | 213625,9 | 1,083697 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 | 241353,6 | 108,0021 | 0,997089 | 225860,1 | 1,068598 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 | 252867.6 | 84,7703 | 1,012677 | 238094,3 | 1,062048 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 | 263435,9 | 97,2987 | 0,996977 | 250328,5 | 1,052361 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 | 274834,0 | 109,9289 | 0,994452 | 262562,7 | 1,046737 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 | 287668,0 | 108,0021 | 0,985817 | 274796,9 | 1,046839 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 | 303180,1 | 84,7703 | 1,006165 | 287031,1 | 1,056262 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 | 317393,1 | 97,2987 | 1,004720 | 299265,3 | 1,060574 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 | 329424,9 | 109,9289 | 1,017548 | 311499,5 | 1,057546 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 | 337988,8 | 108,0021 | 0,993426 | 323733,7 | 1,044033 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 | 344959,3 | 84,7703 | 0,999662 | 335967,9 | 1,026763 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 | 349745,3 | 97,2987 | 1,016771 | 348202,1 | 1,004432 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 | 352565,6 | 109,9289 | 0,998807 | 360436,3 | 0,978163 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 | 354957,1 | 108,0021 | 0,989227 | 372670,5 | 0,952469 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 | 357863,0 | 84,7703 | 1,001291 | 384904,7 | 0,929744 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 | 362692,9 | 97,2987 | 1,005436 | 397138,9 | 0,913264 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 | 367151,1 | 109,9289 | 0,986112 | 409373,1 | 0,896862 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 | 373835,5 | 108,0021 | 1,012089 | 421607,3 | 0,886691 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 | 380776,3 | 84,7703 | 0,981783 | 433841,5 | 0,877685 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 | 391161,4 | 97,2987 | 1,004719 | 446075,7 | 0,876895 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 | 403721,9 | 109,9289 | 0,992494 | 458309,9 | 0,880893 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 | 421434,1 | 108,0021 | 0,982391 | 470544,1 | 0,895632 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 | 445990,7 | 84,7703 | 0,994508 | 482778,3 | 0,9238 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 | 476229,1 | 97,2987 | 0,985649 | 495012,5 | 0,962055 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 | 506400,8 | 109,9289 | 1,018531 | 507246,7 | 0,998332 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 | 529086,8 | 108,0021 | 1,030479 | 519480,9 | 1,018491 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 | 547533,4 | 84,7703 | 0,981668 | 531715,1 | 1,02975 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 | 573077,9 | 97,2987 | 0,960054 | 543949,3 | 1,05355 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 | 609464,8 | 109,9289 | 0,998794 | 556183,5 | 1,095798 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 | 627658,3 | 108,0021 | 1,066629 | 568417,7 | 1,10422 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 4.20. The results of adding the cycle component
The graph of the cyclic component is presented in Fig. 4.21.


Fig. 4.21. Visualization of the cycle component

Before proceeding to forecast GDP for 4 periods ahead using the time series decomposition model, it is necessary to perform a number of actions:

- add 4 observations after the last available in the series;
- in the data column T (time period), enter the corresponding ordinal numbers, continuing the series;
- in the column Seasonal Factors, enter the corresponding values of the seasonal components;
- in the Cycle column, enter the corresponding cyclic component values, taking into account the cycle period;
- in the Trend column set the data recalculation (Fig. 4.22).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\text { GDP }}$ | 3 <br> Smoothed Trend-c. |  | 5 Irreg. Compon | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Trend } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\text { Cycle }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 | 219614,0 | 84,7703 | 1,015366 | 189157,5 | 1,161011 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 | 223577.9 | 97,2987 | 0,984208 | 201391,7 | 1.110164 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 | 231505,8 | 109,9289 | 0,983552 | 213625,9 | 1,083697 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 | 241353,6 | 108,0021 | 0,997089 | 225860,1 | 1,068598 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 | 252867,6 | 84,7703 | 1,012677 | 238094, 3 | 1,062048 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 | 263435,9 | 97,2987 | 0,996977 | 250328,5 | 1,052361 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 | 274834,0 | 109,9289 | 0,994452 | 262562,7 | 1,046737 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 | 287668,0 | 108,0021 | 0,985817 | 274796,9 | 1,046839 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 | 303180,1 | 84,7703 | 1,006165 | 287031,1 | 1,056262 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 | 317393,1 | 97,2987 | 1,004720 | 299265,3 | 1,060574 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 | 329424,9 | 109,9289 | 1,017548 | 311499,5 | 1,057546 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 | 337988,8 | 108,0021 | 0,993426 | 323733,7 | 1,044033 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 | 344959,3 | 84,7703 | 0,999662 | 335967,9 | 1,026763 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 | 349745,3 | 97,2987 | 1,016771 | 348202,1 | 1,004432 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 | 352565,6 | 109,9289 | 0,998807 | 360436,3 | 0,978163 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 | 354957,1 | 108,0021 | 0,989227 | 372670,5 | 0,952469 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 | 357863,0 | 84,7703 | 1,001291 | 384904,7 | 0,929744 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 | 362692,9 | 97,2987 | 1,005436 | 397138,9 | 0,913264 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 | 367151,1 | 109,9289 | 0,986112 | 409373,1 | 0,896862 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 | 373835,5 | 108,0021 | 1,012089 | 421607,3 | 0,886691 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 | 380776,3 | 84,7703 | 0,981783 | 433841,5 | 0,877685 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 | 391161,4 | 97,2987 | 1,004719 | 446075,7 | 0,876895 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 | 403721.9 | 109,9289 | 0,992494 | 458309,9 | 0,880893 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 | 421434,1 | 108,0021 | 0,982391 | 470544,1 | 0,895632 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 | 445990.7 | 84,7703 | 0,994508 | 482778,3 | 0,9238 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 | 476229,1 | 97,2987 | 0,985649 | 495012,5 | 0,962055 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 | 506400,8 | 109,9289 | 1,018531 | 507246,7 | 0,998332 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 | 529086,8 | 108,0021 | 1,030479 | 519480,9 | 1,018491 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 | 547533,4 | 84,7703 | 0,981668 | 531715,1 | 1,02975 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 | 573077,9 | 97,2987 | 0,960054 | 543949,3 | 1,05355 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 | 609464,8 | 109,9289 | 0,998794 | 556183,5 | 1,095798 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 | 627658,3 | 108,0021 | 1,066629 | 568417,7 | 1,10422 |
| 33 | 33 |  |  | 84,7703 |  | 580651,9 | 1,060574 |
| 34 | 34 |  |  | 97,2987 |  | 592886,1 | 1,057546 |
| 35 | 35 |  |  | 109,9289 |  | 605120,3 | 1,044033 |
| 36 | 36 |  |  | 108,0021 |  | 617354,5 | 1,026763 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 4.22. The steps of the analysis
Then we need to add a new variable of the GDP prediction (Fig. 4.23, 4.24).


Fig. 4.23. Adding the GDP prediction
Then you can calculate the forecast values of the GDP indicator by 5 steps forward by specifying a model of the form:

GDPpredict $=$ Trend $\times$ Cycle $\times$ Seasonal Factors $/ 100$.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & T \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\text { GDP }}$ | Smoothed Trend-c. | 4 Seasonal Factors | 5 Irreg. Compon. | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Trend } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\text { Cycle }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { GDP } \end{gathered}$ predict |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 189028 | 219614,0 | 84,7703 | 1.015366 | 189157.5 | 1,161011 | 186167.3 |
| 2 | 2 | 214103 | 223577.9 | 97,2987 | 0.984208 | 201391.7 | 1.110164 | 217538.4 |
| 3 | 3 | 250306 | 231505,8 | 109.9289 | 0.983552 | 213625.9 | 1,083697 | 254491.8 |
| 4 | 4 | 259908 | 241353,6 | 108,0021 | 0.997089 | 225860,1 | 1,068598 | 260666,9 |
| 5 | 5 | 217074 | 252867.6 | 84,7703 | 1.012677 | 238094,3 | 1,062048 | 214356.5 |
| 6 | 6 | 255545 | 263435.9 | 97,2987 | 0.996977 | 250328,5 | 1,052361 | 256319,8 |
| 7 | 7 | 300446 | 274834,0 | 109.9289 | 0.994452 | 262562.7 | 1,046737 | 302122 |
| 8 | 8 | 306281 | 287668,0 | 108,0021 | 0,985817 | 274796,9 | 1,046839 | 310687.4 |
| 9 | 9 | 258591 | 303180, 1 | 84,7703 | 1,006165 | 287031.1 | 1,056262 | 257006,6 |
| 10 | 10 | 310277 | 317393.1 | 97,2987 | 1,004720 | 299265,3 | 1,060574 | 308819.4 |
| 11 | 11 | 368488 | 329424,9 | 109.9289 | 1,017548 | 311499.5 | 1,057546 | 362133,3 |
| 12 | 12 | 362635 | 337988,8 | 108,0021 | 0.993426 | 323733,7 | 1,044033 | 365034,9 |
| 13 | 13 | 292324 | 344959,3 | 84,7703 | 0.999662 | 335967.9 | 1,026763 | 292422,9 |
| 14 | 14 | 346005 | 349745,3 | 97,2987 | 1,016771 | 348202, 1 | 1,004432 | 340297.7 |
| 15 | 15 | 387109 | 352565,6 | 109.9289 | 0.998807 | 360436,3 | 0.978163 | 387571.6 |
| 16 | 16 | 379231 | 354957.1 | 108,0021 | 0.989227 | 372670,5 | 0.952469 | 383361.1 |
| 17 | 17 | 303753 | 357863,0 | 84,7703 | 1,001291 | 384904.7 | 0.929744 | 303361.4 |
| 18 | 18 | 354814 | 362692.9 | 97,2987 | 1,005436 | 397138.9 | 0.913264 | 352895,5 |
| 19 | 19 | 398000 | 367151.1 | 109.9289 | 0,986112 | 409373,1 | 0,896862 | 403605,3 |
| 20 | 20 | 408631 | 373835,5 | 108,0021 | 1,012089 | 421607,3 | 0,886691 | 403750,2 |
| 21 | 21 | 316905 | 380776,3 | 84,7703 | 0.981783 | 433841.5 | 0,877685 | 322785.1 |
| 22 | 22 | 382391 | 391161.4 | 97,2987 | 1,004719 | 446075.7 | 0,876895 | 380595 |
| 23 | 23 | 440476 | 403721.9 | 109,9289 | 0.992494 | 458309,9 | 0,880893 | 443807.1 |
| 24 | 24 | 447143 | 421434,1 | 108,0021 | 0.982391 | 470544.1 | 0,895632 | 455157.6 |
| 25 | 25 | 375991 | 445990.7 | 84,7703 | 0.994508 | 482778,3 | 0.9238 | 378067.5 |
| 26 | 26 | 456715 | 476229.1 | 97,2987 | 0.985649 | 495012.5 | 0.962055 | 463364,9 |
| 27 | 27 | 566997 | 506400,8 | 109.9289 | 1,018531 | 507246.7 | 0.998332 | 556681 |
| 28 | 28 | 588841 | 529086,8 | 108,0021 | 1,030479 | 519480,9 | 1,018491 | 571424,8 |
| 29 | 29 | 455637 | 547533.4 | 84,7703 | 0.981668 | 531715.1 | 1,02975 | 464145.5 |
| 30 | 30 | 535324 | 573077.9 | 97,2987 | 0.960054 | 543949,3 | 1,05355 | 557597.5 |
| 31 | 31 | 669170 | 609464,8 | 109.9289 | 0.998794 | 556183,5 | 1.095798 | 669978,2 |
| 32 | 32 | 723051 | 627658,3 | 108,0021 | 1,066629 | 568417.7 | 1.10422 | 677884 |
| 33 | 33 |  |  | 84,7703 |  | 580651.9 | 1,060574 | 522036 |
| 34 | 34 |  |  | 97,2987 |  | 592886.1 | 1,057546 | 610067 |
| 35 | 35 |  |  | 109.9289 |  | 605120,3 | 1.044033 | 694493,3 |
| 36 | 36 |  |  | 108,0021 |  | 617354.5 | 1,026763 | 684599.9 |

Fig. 4.24. The results of adding the GDP prediction
Let's build a graph of the GDP prediction (Fig. 4.25).


Fig. 4.25. Visualization of the GDP prediction
Fig. 4.26 shows the histogram of error distribution. The fact that this distribution is close to the normal law is a confirmation of the adequacy of the model and the accuracy of the forecast.


Fig. 4.26. The histogram of the GDP prediction with the curve of normal distribution

To confirm the quality of the forecast, we calculate the average relative percentage error by formula (4.2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { MAPE }=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \times \sum \frac{|\mathrm{y}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}|}{\mathrm{y}} \times 100 \%, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where MAPE is a measure of the bias of the forecast (forecasting errors of the time series).

If MAPE < $10 \%$, this will indicate a high accuracy of the made forecast.
Calculations can be performed in Excel (Fig. 2.27).


Fig. 2.27. Calculations of the MAPE

The average relative percentage error is 1.323 \%, which is confirmed by the accuracy of the forecast for this multiplicative time series model.

As an output, it is necessary to give an economic interpretation of the results of forecasting, i.e. say what will happen to the country's GDP in the near future.

# Content module 2. Modeling and forecasting of multidimensional processes 

## Topic 5. Factor analysis of data

## Laboratory work 5

Building a model of factor analysis

The purpose of the work is to acquire data processing skills using the factor analysis methods.

The task is to reduce the information space using the methods of factor analysis.

## Guidelines

The Factor Analysis module contains a wide range of methods that allow the selection of factors, thus reducing the input information space.

Let's consider the main stages of conducting factor analysis in the system (package) Statistica based on the following example. For the analysis of the activity of a private enterprise, the following indicators were selected (Table 5.1): X1, the rate of the losses due to spoilage; X 2 , the index of reduction of production cost; X 3 , the return on capital; X 4 , the coefficient of the equipment variability; $\mathbf{X} 5$, productivity; $\mathbf{X 6}$, the share of the purchased items.

Table 5.1

## The initial data

| Period | X1 | $\mathbf{X 2}$ | $\mathbf{X 3}$ | $\mathbf{X 4}$ | $\mathbf{X 5}$ | $\mathbf{X 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | 5.571 | 47.88 | 0.522 | 0.153 | 0.071 | 0.225 |
| 2 | 4.914 | 27.09 | 1.377 | 0.135 | 0.873 | 0.441 |
| 3 | 5.85 | 131.76 | 0.63 | 0.144 | 1.035 | 0.234 |
| 4 | 5.949 | 16.29 | 1.593 | 0.135 | 0.018 | 0.252 |
| 5 | 3.888 | 12.24 | 0.666 | 0.153 | 0.054 | 0.153 |
| 6 | 6.633 | 80.82 | 0.972 | 0.306 | 1.251 | 0.153 |
| 7 | 6.318 | 56.25 | 1.035 | 0.306 | 0.072 | 0.279 |

Table 5.1 (the end)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 7.425 | 41.67 | 0.873 | 0.306 | 0.693 | 0.162 |
| 9 | 7.335 | 93.123 | 1.008 | 0.171 | 0.693 | 0.279 |
| 10 | 7.848 | 65.97 | 0.891 | 0.171 | 0.972 | 0.162 |
| 11 | 5.976 | 68.94 | 0.522 | 0.306 | 0.837 | 0.279 |
| 12 | 7.29 | 65.709 | 0.927 | 0.306 | 0.09 | 0.135 |
| 13 | 4.968 | 29.07 | 1.116 | 0.135 | 0.099 | 0.252 |
| 14 | 8.433 | 178.686 | 0.801 | 0.171 | 1.296 | 0.162 |
| 15 | 11.853 | 538.308 | 0.612 | 0.306 | 0.432 | 0.126 |
| 16 | 6.003 | 64.521 | 0.927 | 0.171 | 0.116 | 0.162 |
| 17 | 5.112 | 81.567 | 0.657 | 0.288 | 0.693 | 0.261 |
| 18 | 4.671 | 73.89 | 0.657 | 0.171 | 0.837 | 0.27 |
| 19 | 9.018 | 68.58 | 0.765 | 0.297 | 0.117 | 0.243 |
| 20 | 7.344 | 107.523 | 0.927 | 0.306 | 1.557 | 0.261 |
| 21 | 4.671 | 73.89 | 0.657 | 0.171 | 0.837 | 0.27 |

To reduce the initial information space we need to use Statistics / Multivariate Exploratory Techniques / Factor Ananlysis (Multidimensional Methods / Factor Analysis) to select the Factor Analysis module. The Factor Analysis dialog box appears (Fig. 5.1).


Fig. 5.1. The Factor Analysis module

The Variables button allows you to select all variables from the data file that must be included in the factor analysis. If all variables are used for analysis, you can use the Select All button (Fig. 5.2).


Fig. 5.2. Choosing the variables

The module includes the following output types: Correlation Matrix and Raw Data.

Choose, for example, Raw Data. This is a regular data file, where the values of the variables are given in rows.

MD deletion (replace missed variables) is used to choose the method for processing the missed values.

The Casewise option (the way to exclude the missed cases) implies that in the spreadsheet containing the data all the rows (cases) that have at least one missing value are ignored. This applies to all variables. In the table, there are only cases in which there is no skip.

The Pairwise option (a duplicate way to exclude the missed values). Missed cases are ignored for all variables, but only for the selected pair. All cases in which there are no spaces are used in processing, for example, with elemental calculation of the correlation matrix, when all pairs of variables are sequentially considered. Obviously, the pairwise method has more observations for processing than the Casewise way.

Mean Substitution is substitution of the average instead of the missed values.

By clicking on the OK button in the startup window of the module, the analysis of the selected variables begins. The Statistica system will process the missed values in the way indicated, will calculate the correlation matrix and will offer a choice of several methods for factor analysis. The calculation of the correlation matrix (if not specified immediately) is the first stage of factor analysis. After clicking the Ok button, you can go to the next dialog.

The window Define Method of Factor Extraction (determining the method of selection of factors) is presented in Fig. 5.3.


Fig. 5.3. Defining the method of factor extraction
This window has the following structure. The upper part of the window is informational: it is reported here that the missing values are processed by the casewise method. 21 cases were processed and 21 cases were taken for further calculations. The correlation matrix was calculated for 6 variables. The group of options merged under the heading Extraction method allows you to choose the method of processing.

To continue the analysis in the Define Method of Factor Extraction window (Fig. 5.4), we need to click on the Review correlations, means, standard deviations button.


Fig. 5.4. Review of correlations, means, standard deviations

After that, a window for viewing descriptive statistics for the analyzed data appears, where you can see the average, standard deviations, correlations, covariations, build different graphs (Fig. 5.5).


Fig. 5.5. Additional analysis
Here one can carry out additional analysis of the current data, verify the conformity of the sample variables to the normal distribution law and the existence of a linear correlation between the variables. Clicking the Correlations button will display the correlation matrix of the variables selected earlier (Fig. 5.6).

|  | Correlations（Spreadsheet6） <br> Casewise deletion of MD <br> N＝21 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Variable |  |  |  |  |

Fig．5．6．The correlation matrix
So，at the next stage，we choose the method of specification of factors－ the method of the principal components（taking as a basis the correlation matrix of the initial data，this method helps to reduce the dimension of the data and minimize the loss of information）－and specify the maximum number of factors（in our case it is 6）and the minimum actual value of the Kaiser criterion，to be not less than 1．Statistica 8.0 automatically performs calculations and displays the results of the factor analysis（Fig．5．7）．

| 渂：Define Method of Factor Extra | ion：Spreadsheet6 | ？$\quad \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missing data were casewise deleted <br> 21 cases were processed（selected） <br> 21 valid cases were accepted <br> Correlation matrix was computed for 6 variables |  | 耻 |
| Quick Advanced $\mid$ Descriptives <br> Extraction method <br> （）Principal components <br> Pincipal factor analysis： Communalities＝multiple $\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ Iterated commun．（MINRES） Maximum likelihood factors Centroid method Principal axxis method | Max．no．of factors： $\square$ <br> Mini．eigenvalue： <br> 0,1 <br> Iterated communalities <br> Min．change in communaility： $\square$ Maximumn no． of iterations： |  |



Fig．5．7．Defining the methods of factor analysis
In the upper part of the window of the results of factor analysis，an informative message is given：Number of variables（the number of the analyzed variables）－6；Method（the method of analysis）－the principal components； $\log$（10）determination of the correlation matrix（a decimal logarithm of the determinant of the correlation matrix）－0．80448；Number of factors extracted（the number of the selected factors）－2；Eigenvalues－ 2．50634； 1.09857.

As a result, two main factors that correspond to the highest eigenvalues of the correlation matrix were identified: $\lambda 1=2.50634$ and $\lambda 2=1.09857$, so two of these factors account for the largest part ( $60.08 \%$ ) of the variance explanation. Namely, the first factor explains approximately 42 \% $(41.77 \%)$ of the total dispersion, while the share of the second factor accounts for almost 18 \% ( $18.31 \%$ ) of the dispersion explanation. Together, they describe approximately $60 \%$ of the dispersion, that is, almost the entire array of data (Fig. 5.8).

| Value | Eigenvalues (Spreadsheet6) Extraction: Principal components |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eigenvalue | \% Total variance | Cumulative Eigenvalue | Cumulative \% |
| 1 | 2,506340 | 41,77234 | 2,506340 | 41,77234 |
| 2 | 1,098566 | 18,30944 | 3,604907 | 60,08178 |

Fig. 5.8. The eigenvalues
Thus, factorization is almost complete, although there are other, less significant factors. In order to ensure that the correct number of factors is obtained, it is expedient to use the Kettel criterion (stony maturity criterion), which makes it possible to reflect graphically the eigenvalues of each selected factor in descending order and find on the graph a place where the reduction of these values from the left to the right as much as possible slows down. In accordance with this criterion, at the points with the coordinates 1, 2 the stony maturity is slowed down most significantly, therefore, theoretically, one can restrict two factors (Fig. 5.9 and 5.10).


Fig. 5.9. Choosing the visualization of eigenvalues


Fig. 5.10. The plot of eigenvalues

At the bottom of the window there are subdivisions that allow you to comprehensively get acquainted with the results of the analysis numerically and graphically. The options Plot of loadings, 2D and Plot of loadings, 3D (Load Charts) will plot factor load graphs in the projection onto the plane of any two selected factors and in the projection onto the space of the three selected factors (Fig. 5.11).


Fig. 5.11. The polygon of factor loadings

Summary. The option Factor loadings makes up a table with current factor loads that are calculated for this method of rotation of factors. In this table, the factors correspond to the columns, and the variables are rows, and for each factor, the load of each output variable is given, which shows the relative magnitude of the projection of the variable on the factor coordinate axis. Factor loads can be interpreted as correlations between the corresponding variables and factors - the higher the load modulus, the greater the proximity of the factor to the initial variable; and they represent the most important information for interpreting the resulting factors. In a generated table, for facilitation purposes, factor loadings will be presented in the absolute value greater than 0.7 (Fig. 5.12).

| Variable | Factor Loadings (Unrotated) (Spreadsheet6) Extraction: Principal components (Marked loadings are >,700000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Factor } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Factor } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Var1 | 0,8531231 | -0,059150 |  |
| Var2 | 0,824095 | 0,059803 |  |
| Var3 | -0,490487 | -0,141242 |  |
| Var4 | 0,636260 | 0,093964 |  |
| Var5 | 0,189549 | 0,918940 |  |
| Var6 | -0,646573 | 0,467185 |  |
| Expl.Var | 2,506340 | 1,098566 |  |
| Prp.Totl | 0,417723 | 0,183094 |  |

Fig. 5.12. Factor loadings

The results presented in Fig. 5.12 show that the first factor is more correlated with variables than the second one. Since the correlation of other factors is insignificant, it is advisable to resort to the rotation of the axes, hoping to obtain a solution that can be interpreted in the subject area. Now it is expedient to determine which indicators were included in the first and second factors. To do this, you should review the factor loads (correlations between the corresponding variables and factors - the higher the load modulus, the greater the proximity of the factor to the output variable). However, one should immediately turn to the axes in order to obtain a simple structure in which most observations are located near the axes of coordinates.

The results of changes in the composition of factors and factor loads after their rotation using the normalized version are given in Fig．5．13．

| \％Fisactor Analysis Results：Spreadsheet6 | ？ X |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of variables： 6 <br> Method：Principal components <br> $\log (10)$ determinant of correlation matrix：,- 80448 <br> Number of factors extracted： 2 <br> Bigenvalues：2，50634 1，09857 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Variable | Factor Loadings（Varimax norma <br> Extraction：Principal components <br> （Marked loadings are $>, 700000$ ） |  |  |
| Quick｜Explained vaiance｜Lodings｜Scoues Descipitives｜ | 駧Sumay |  | Factor 1 | Factor 2 |  |
| 恛 Eigenvalues | Cancel | X1 | 0，854375 | －0，036894 |  |
|  |  | X2 | 0，822256 | 0，081263 |  |
| Factor orotion：Vaimax nommalized | MOptions | X3 | －0，486638 | －0，153979 |  |
| 鿬 Summay．Factor lodings | 明发ByGroup | X4 | 0，633594 | 0，110516 |  |
|  |  | X5 | 0，165533 | 0，923569 |  |
| （ Plotof facter loadings， 20 |  | $\times 6$ | －0，658530 | 0，450173 |  |
|  |  | Expl．Var | 2，505384 | 1，099523 |  |
|  |  | Prp．Totl | 0，417564 | 0，183254 |  |

Fig．5．13．The results of changes in the composition of factors and factor loads after their rotation

Thus，according to the results we have the following equations，where X1－X6 are indicators characterizing the activity of a private enterprise and f 1 ，f2 are factor loads：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} 1=0.854 \mathrm{f} 1+0.309 \mathrm{f} 2, & \mathrm{X} 11=0.634 \mathrm{f} 1+0.111 \mathrm{f} 2, \\
\mathrm{X} 2=0.822 \mathrm{f} 1+0.081 \mathrm{f} 2, & \mathrm{X} 12=0.166 \mathrm{f} 1+0.924 \mathrm{f} 2, \\
\mathrm{X} 3=0.487 \mathrm{f} 1+0.154 \mathrm{f} 2, & \mathrm{X} 13=0.659 \mathrm{f} 1+0.450 \mathrm{f} 2 .
\end{array}
$$

The criterion applied for selecting quantitative indicators in the integral was factor loads the value of which was determined by the Pearson correlation coefficient（ $R$ ）to be $0.7 \geq R>0.9$ ，which，according to the Chaddock scale， indicates a strong correlation between the investigated parameters．It means that for the analyzed period， $60 \%$ of changes in the indicators of activity of a private enterprise are explained by the influence of the following indicators： $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2$ and X 5 ．

# Topic 6. Cluster analysis as a means of forming homogeneous data groups 

## Laboratory work 6 <br> Using cluster analysis for the study of economic processes

The purpose of the work is to get skills in the use of cluster analysis in the Statistica package.

The task is to carry out the classification of countries of the world, according to the level of energy security.

## Guidelines

The level of countries' energy security is assessed based on the following indicators:

1) the share of their own sources in the balance of fuel and energy resources,\% (X1);
2) the share of the dominant fuel resource in the consumption of fuel and energy resources,\% (X2);
3) energy intensity of GDP, kg of conditional fuel / UAH, (X3);
4) the volume of coal production, million tons (X4);
5) the degree of supply of fuel and energy resources (X5).

The output values for these indicators are shown in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1
The significance of energy security indicators

| Countries | Indicators of countries' energy security |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X 1 | X 2 | X 3 | X 4 | X 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Austria | 0.512517 | 8.139704 | 28.08643 | 0.657682 | 1.229883 |
| Belgium | 0.441011 | 11.18419 | 46.6101 | 0.423147 | 1.198028 |
| Bulgaria | 0.390566 | 67.68311 | 473.7965 | 0.728101 | 0.629035 |
| Finland | 0.659931 | 13.74058 | 0 | 0.644842 | 1.181073 |
| France | 0.598438 | 7.578963 | 6.85112 | 0.746463 | 0.569163 |
| Germany | 0.425501 | 9.358731 | 2922.118 | 0.671615 | 0.998937 |

Table 6.1 (the end)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | 0.422215 | 9.180896 | 4.465834 | 0.526936 | 1.333184 |
| Poland | 0.447059 | 27.10571 | 6933.373 | 1.057638 | 1.030381 |
| Spain | 0.493978 | 10.29191 | 4295.369 | 0.61782 | 0.923633 |
| Sweden | 0.828147 | 8.865035 | 0 | 0.909419 | 0.948364 |
| Switzerland | 0.694735 | 4.621731 | 0 | 0.75509 | 0.952061 |
| The UK | 0.389766 | 7.828034 | 1005.633 | 1.954056 | 2.160322 |
| Belorussia | 0.603121 | 80.72323 | 0 | 0.521061 | 1.342974 |
| Russia | 0.562118 | 121.3625 | 3960.709 | 2.899916 | 2.942098 |
| Ukraine | 0.541134 | 179.2357 | 2740.338 | 0.676241 | 1.076723 |

1. To construct cluster groups, we assess the values of the indicators. For this purpose, in the context menu, we need to standardize the initial data. So, select Fill / Standardize Block / Standardize Columns as shown in Fig. 6.1.


|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \hline \times 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ \times 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \times 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \times 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \times 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | -0,17076 | -0,56815 | -0,67541 | -0,39902 | -0,00755 |
| Belgium | -0,73874 | -0,50982 | -0,66688 | -0,75668 | -0,06092 |
| Bulgaria | -1,13942 | 0,572676 | -0,47012 | -0,29163 | -1,01414 |
| Finland | 1,000142 | -0,46084 | -0,68834 | -0,4186 | -0,08932 |
| France | 0,511704 | -0,57889 | -0,68519 | -0,26363 | -1,11444 |
| Germany | -0,86193 | -0,5448 | 0,657549 | -0,37777 | -0,39445 |
| Italy | -0,88803 | -0,5482 | -0,68629 | -0,5984 | 0,165506 |
| Poland | -0,6907 | -0,20477 | 2,505087 | 0,21091 | -0,34177 |
| Spain | -0,31802 | -0,52692 | 1,290053 | -0,45981 | -0,5206 |
| Sweden | 2,336278 | -0,55425 | -0,68834 | -0,01512 | -0,47917 |
| Switzerland | 1,276589 | -0,63555 | -0,68834 | -0,25047 | -0,47298 |
| UK | -1,14577 | -0,57412 | -0,22516 | 1,577936 | 1,551189 |
| Belorussia | 0,548901 | 0,822519 | -0,68834 | -0,60736 | 0,181907 |
| Russia | 0,223215 | 1,60115 | 1,135912 | 3,020361 | 2,860878 |
| Ukraine | 0,05654 | 2,709977 | 0,573823 | -0,37072 | -0,26414 |

Fig. 6.1. The normative values of the energy security indices
2. To perform cluster analysis, we need to log into the cluster analysis module, using the Statistics / Multivariate Exploratory / Cluster analysis menu (Fig. 6.2).


Fig. 6.2. The cluster analysis module
In the resulting dialog window you may choose one of the following methods of clustering:

1) joining (tree clustering);
2) $k$-means clustering;
3) two-way joining.

Let's start cluster analysis with the method of natural hierarchical clustering - Ward's method (Fig. 6.3).


Fig. 6.2. Choosing the Ward's method
3. In order to determine the number of clusters, it is expedient to initially conduct natural (tree-like) clustering. In the Statistica package, this type of clustering involves the implementation of several stages.
3.1. Selection of indicators for which clustering is carried out (Fig. 6.4).


Fig. 6.4. Selection of the indicators for the analysis
3.2. Selection of objects of classification in the Cluster field. When clustering the variables themselves, they are labeled Variables [Columns], in this task - Cases [rows] (Observation [rows]) (Fig. 6.5).


Fig. 6.5. Choosing the parameters of cluster analysis
3.3. The choice of rules for grouping the objects. To do this, use the Amalgamation [linkage] rule menu, which allows you to choose one of the following rules:
> Single linkage (the one-way method "Closest neighbor's principle");
> Complete linkage (the full-length method);
> Unweighted pair-group average (the unweighted pair average);
> Weighted pair-group average (the weighted pairwise average);
> Unweighted pair-group centroid (the unweighted centroid method);
$>$ Weighted pair-group centroid (the weighted centroid method);
> Ward's method.
According to the work propose, let's use the single-link method.
3.4. Choosing the distance type to be used in the clustering process. For this purpose, in the Distance measure window, you must select one of the distance types used in the package:

- Squared Euclidean distances (the square of the Euclidean distance);
- Euclidean distances;
- City-block (Manhattan) distance (the distance from the city districts (Manhattan distance));
- Chebyshev distance metric (Chebyshev distance);
- Percent disagreement.

According to work propose, let's use the Euclidean distance.
After setting all clustering parameters, we go to the window of results (Fig. 6.6).


Fig. 6.6. Choosing the parameters of cluster analysis

Using the Vertical (Horizontal) icicle plot button, we build a vertical dendrogram (Fig. 6.7) and a horizontal hierarchical dendrogram (Fig. 6.8).


Fig. 6.7. The vertical dendrogram


Fig. 6.8. The horizontal hierarchical dendrogram

The analysis of the determination of the number of cluster groups is based on the use of dendrograms. The most expedient way is to break the aggregate of countries into 4 clusters.
4. For the confirmation of the results of clusterization by natural methods, clustering is carried out by artificial methods. Construction of clusters using the k -medium method is performed in the following stages:
4.1. Setting up the key clustering parameters. The clustering way and clustering objects are selected similar to the tree-clustering method. Taking into account the results of constructing the dendrogram, the number of clusters is equal to 4 (Fig. 6.9).


Fig. 6.9. The stages of the k-means method
4.2. In the Clustering results window, you can select those calculations and reports for the cluster analysis that the user needs (Fig. 6.10).


Fig. 6.10. Selection of the parameters for the $\mathbf{k}$-means method
4.3. Let's consider the stages of the k-means method.
4.3.1. Use the Cluster Means \& Euclidean Distances button (mean values in clusters and Euclidean distances) (Fig. 6.11).

| Cluster <br> Number | Euclidean Distances between Clusters (Spreadsheet6) Distances below diagonal <br> Squared distances above diagonal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. 1 | No. 2 | No. 3 | No. 4 |  |
| No. 1 | 0,000000 | 3,060827 | 0,748075 | 0,914698 |  |
| No. 2 | 1,749522 | 0,000000 | 3,612635 | 2,797337 |  |
| No. 3 | 0,864913 | 1,900693 | 0,000000 | 1,520397 |  |
| No. 4 | 0,956398 | 1,672524 | 1,233044 | 0,000000 |  |

Fig. 6.11. Euclidean distances

Based on the matrix of distances between the clusters, one can determine the quality of the clusterization carried out. The greater the distance between clusters and the less the distance between the elements of the clusters, the more qualitative clustering is carried out.
4.3.2. The Descriptive Statistics button for each cluster allows you to define descriptive statistics for each cluster (Fig. 6.12).

| Variable | Descriptive Statistics for Cluster 1 (Spreadsheet6) Cluster contains 5 cases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Standard Deviation | Variance |  |
| X1 | -0,759776 | 0,359997 | 0,129598 |  |
| X2 | -0,319659 | 0,499272 | 0,249272 |  |
| X3 | -0,368229 | 0,580369 | 0,336828 |  |
| X4 | -0,484700 | 0,189046 | 0,035738 |  |
| $\times 5$ | -0,262310 | 0,466688 | 0,217798 |  |


| Variable | Descriptive Statistics for Cluster 2 (Spreadsheetb) <br> Cluster contains 2 cases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Standard Deviation | Variance |  |
| X1 | -0,461279 | 0,968021 | 0,937065 |  |
| $\times 2$ | 0,513514 | 1,538150 | 2,365906 |  |
| $\times 3$ | 0,455375 | 0,962425 | 0,926261 |  |
| $\times 4$ | 2,299148 | 1,019948 | 1,040294 |  |
| $\times 5$ | 2,206034 | 0,926090 | 0,857643 |  |


|  | Descriptive Statistics for Cluster 3 (Spreadsheet6) <br> Cluster contains 5 cases |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Miean | Standard <br> Deviation | Variance |
|  | 1,134723 | 0,743860 | 0,553328 |
|  | $-0,281405$ | 0,620325 | 0,384803 |
| $X 3$ | $-0,687714$ | 0,001411 | 0,000002 |
| $X 4$ | $-0,311037$ | 0,219579 | 0,048215 |
| $X 5$ | $-0,394801$ | 0,489137 | 0,239255 |


| Variable | Descriptive Statistics for Cluster 4 (Spreadsheet6) Cluster contains 3 cases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Standard Deviation | Variance |  |
| X1 | -0,317392 | 0,373618 | 0,139591 |  |
| X2 | 0,659430 | 1,783115 | 3,179501 |  |
| X3 | 1,456321 | 0,976309 | 0,953179 |  |
| X4 | -0,206537 | 0,364254 | 0,132681 |  |
| $\times 5$ | -0,375504 | 0,131519 | 0,017297 |  |

Fig. 6.12. The descriptive statistics for each cluster
4.3.3. The list of countries included in each cluster can be obtained using the Members for each cluster \& distances button (group members and distances) (Fig. 6.13).

|  | Members of Cluster Number 1 and Distances from Respectiv Cluster contains 5 cases |  |  | Members of Cluster Number 2 and Distances from Respective Cluster contains 2 cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance |  |  |  |  |
| Austria | 0,3392011 |  |  | Distance |  |
| Bulgaria | 0,557367 |  | UK | 0,782762 |  |
| Germany | 0,477962 |  | Russia |  |  |
| Italy | 0,270480 |  | Russia | 0,782762 |  |
| Members of Cluster Number 3 and Distances from Respective Cluster contains 5 cases |  |  |  | Members of Cluster Number 4and Distances from RespectivCluster contains 3 cases |  |
| Finland | Distance |  |  | Distance |  |
| France | 0,446494 |  | Poland | 0,657497 |  |
| Sweden | 0,567955 |  | Spain | 0,551409 |  |
| Switzerland | 0,176254 |  | Ukraine |  |  |
| Belorussia | 0.629641 |  | Ukiaine | 1,016142 |  |

Fig. 6.13. The members of each cluster

Fig. 6.13 shows that the representative for the first cluster is Belgium, for the second - Russia and the United Kingdom, for the third cluster - Finland, for the fourth one - Spain. A comparative analysis of the Euclidean distances allowed us to conclude that the built-up clusterization is qualitative, as evidenced by a significant excess of distance between the groups and within them.
4.3.4. To plot a graph showing the pattern of the breakdown of countries into clusters depending on the level of energy security, the Graph of means button is used (Fig. 6.14).


Fig. 6.14. The graphs of average values of indicators for $\mathbf{4}$ clusters
Analyzing the results we can draw conclusions and characterize the above clusters (Table 6.2).

Table 6.2

The general characteristics of the energy security clusters

| Cluster number | List of countries <br> included in the cluster | Key characteristics of <br> the class | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| The first cluster | Austria, Belgium, <br> Germany, Bulgaria, <br> Italy | Countries with an <br> average level of energy <br> resources and high <br> energy-saving <br> technologies | Diversify suppliers <br> of energy <br> resources |

Table 6.2 (the end)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The second <br> cluster | Russia, the UK | Absolutely energy <br> independent countries <br> with large own energy <br> reserves but inefficient <br> use of energy <br> resources | Increase energy <br> efficiency |
| The third cluster | Finland, France, <br> Sweden, Switzerland, <br> Belarus | Countries with low <br> energy resources and <br> high levels of energy <br> saving technologies | Diversify energy <br> suppliers, use non- <br> traditional sources <br> of energy <br> resources |
| The fourth |  |  |  |
| cluster | Poland, Spain, <br> Ukraine | Countries with an <br> average level of <br> energy resources and <br> very low energy-saving <br> technologies | Diversify energy <br> suppliers, increase <br> energy efficiency |

Thus, we can conclude that Ukraine is among the countries with an average level of energy supply and very low energy-saving technologies.

## Topic 7. Data recognition and discriminant analysis

## Laboratory work 7 <br> Solving the problem of classification by the method of discriminant analysis

The purpose of the work is to obtain skills in the use of discriminant analysis in the Statistica package.

The task is to check the quality of clustering of the countries of the world in terms of energy security.

## Guidelines

The initial data about 15 countries of the world, which were distributed in four groups by the method of cluster analysis (in terms of energy security), are shown in Fig. 7.1.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \times 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \times 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ \times 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ \times 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ \times 5 \end{gathered}$ | \# Cluster <br>  |
| Austria | -0,17076 | -0,56815 | -0,67541 | -0,39902 | -0,00755 | 1 |
| Belgium | -0,73874 | -0,50982 | -0,66688 | -0,75668 | -0,06092 | -1 |
| Bulgaria | -1,13942 | 0,572676 | -0,47012 | -0,29163 | -1,01414 | 1 |
| Finland | 1,000142 | -0,46084 | -0,68834 | -0,4186 | -0,08932 | 3 |
| France | 0,511704 | -0,57889 | -0,68519 | -0,26363 | -1,11444 | 3 |
| Germany | -0,86193 | -0,5448 | 0,657549 | -0,37777 | $-0,39445$ | -1 |
| Italy | -0,88803 | -0,5482 | -0,68629 | -0,5984 | 0,165506 | 1 |
| Poland | -0,6907 | $-0,20477$ | 2,505087 | 0,21091 | $-0,34177$ | 4 |
| Spain | -0,31802 | -0,52692 | 1,290053 | -0,45981 | -0,5206 | 4 |
| Sweden | 2,336278 | -0,55425 | -0,68834 | -0,01512 | -0,47917 | 3 |
| Switzerland | 1,276589 | -0,63555 | -0,68834 | -0,25047 | -0,47298 | 3 |
| UK | -1,14577 | -0,57412 | -0,22516 | 1,577936 | 1,551189 | 2 |
| Belorussia | 0,548901 | 0,822519 | $-0,68834$ | -0,60736 | 0,181907 | 3 |
| Russia | 0,223215 | 1,60115 | 1,135912 | 3,020361 | 2,860878 | 2 |
| Ukraine | 0,05654 | 2,709977 | 0,573823 | -0,37072 | -0,26414 | 4 |

Fig. 7.1. The initial data with cluster distribution
Discriminant analysis is a multidimensional statistical method that allows one to study the differences between two or more groups of objects in several variables at a time. The main task of discriminant analysis is to study group differences, that is, to discriminate objects based on certain attributes.

The choice of this module is possible through the Statistics / Multivariate Exploratory Techniques / Discriminant analysis menu (Fig. 7.2).



Fig. 7.2. The first way to choose discriminant analysis

Or, perhaps, use the Module Switcher tab, which contains a list of all available modules, and press Discriminant Analysis and then the Switch to button (Fig. 7.3).



Fig. 7.3. The second way to choose discriminant analysis
The screen for the Discriminant Function Analysis module will appear, with the help of which you can perform the following functions:

- open the data file using the Open Data button;
- select the variable - Variables;
- determine the number of groups of objects being analyzed - Codes for grouping variables;
- permanently remove variables from the Casewise list or replace them with average Mean substitution;
- specify the conditions for selecting observations from the database Select Cases;
- add the share (weight) of variables by selecting them from the list $-W$.

You can use the Variables button to select a Grouping and an Independent variable (Fig. 7.4).


Fig. 7.4. Selection of variables

Using the buttons located in the variables selection panel you can:

- select all variables - Select All;
- view the type of name - Spread;
- see additional information on the Zoom variable.
- define the model by clicking the OK button.

The Model Definition dialog box that is used to select a model is shown in Fig. 7.5.


Fig. 7.5. Choosing the parameters of the discriminant analysis

On the Advanced tab，you can specify the method that will be used to select meaningful variables（Fig．7．6）．

| 䶿 Model Definition：Spreadsheet1＿（Recov．．． |  | ？ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 四 Variables： |  |  | OK |
| X1×5 |  |  | ancel |
| Quick Advanced | ｜Descriptives｜ | 园 Options－ |  |
| Method： | Standard |  |  |
| Iolerance： | ． 010 包 |  |  |
| Stepwise options： |  |  |  |
| E to enter： | 1.00 旬 |  |  |
| F to［emove： | 0.00 回 |  |  |
| Number of steps： | 5 匀 |  |  |
| Display results： | Summary only |  |  |

Fig．7．6．Choosing the method of discriminant analysis

The following methods may be used：
－Standard．All variables are included in the model at the same time；
－Forward stepwise．At each step，in the model，a variable with a maximum F value is selected．The procedure ends when all variables whose values F are greater than the values specified in the F field to enter are included in the model；
－Backward stepwise（step－by－step）．At each step，all variables are selected in the model，which are then deleted depending on the value of $F$ ． The steps end when there are no variables with $F$ values less than certain specified by the user in the F to remove the field．

The Number of steps field determines the maximum number of analysis steps that the procedure ends in．

The Tolerance field allows you to exclude non－informative variables from the model．If the tolerance is less than the value of 0.01 ，the variable is considered non－informative and should not be included in the model．

Unlike the standard method for step－by－step procedures，there are two modes（output of results）of the analysis of Display of results：
－At every step－the program displays the results dialog，received at each step，starting from zero．

- Summary only (in the final step) displays a result window only in the last step, but it contains the option to view the main summary statistics for the step-by-step procedure as well.

Descriptive / Review Descriptive Statistics allows you to get descriptive statistics for selected variables:
$\checkmark$ Pooled within-group covariances \& correlations (combined intragroup covariations and correlations);
$\checkmark$ Total covariances \& correlations (full covariance and correlations);
$\checkmark$ Graph (graphs of correlation functions for all variables);
$\checkmark$ Means \& number of cases (mean values for each variable);
$\checkmark$ Box \& scale diagrams;
$\checkmark$ Standard deviations (standard deviations of variables in each group);
$\checkmark$ Categorized histogram (by group) (histograms categorized in groups for each variable);
$\checkmark$ Box \& whisker plot (by group) (scale diagrams by groups);
$\checkmark$ Categorized scatterplot (by group) for two of any variables;
$\checkmark$ Categorized normal probability plot (normal graphs categorized for any variable in groups).

As a method of analysis, choose Standard based on the results obtained in the computations presented in the Discriminant Function Analysis Results window (Fig. 7.7).


## Fig. 7.7. The Discriminant function analysis results window

It is possible to obtain the following information:

- the number of variables in the model -5;
- the value of Wilks' lambda which is 0.0014 ;
- the approximate value of F-statistics related to Wilks' lambda (Approx. F $(15,19))-12,922$.

The level of significance of $F$ is the criterion $p<0.0000$ for the value 12,922.

The values of Wilks' statistics are in the range $0-1$. If Wilks' statistics are close to 0 , this indicates good discrimination, while the values close to 1 indicate bad discrimination.

Consequently, according to Wilks' lambda, which is 0.0014 and $F$ criterion equal to 12.922 ( $F_{\text {table }}<F_{\text {calc }}$ ), it is possible to conclude that the classification is correct.

As a validation check, see the results of the classification matrix by clicking the Classification matrix button (Fig. 7.8), pre-selecting Same for all groups in the right-hand window of Discriminant Function Analysis Results (Fig. 7.9).


Fig. 7.8. The Classification matrix button

|  | Classification Matrix (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) <br> Rows: Observed classifications <br>  <br> Rolumns: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Predicted classifications |  |  |  |

Fig. 7.9. The Classification matrix

Based on the results of the classification matrix, we can conclude that the objects are correctly broken down into four groups by cluster analysis. If there are countries that are incorrectly assigned to the appropriate groups, see Classification of cases (Fig. 7.10).

| Case | Classification of Cases (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Incorrect classifications are marked with * |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed Classif. | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ p=25000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ p=25000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ p=, 25000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ p=, 25000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Austria | G $1: 1$ | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Belgium | G_1:1 | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Bulgaria | G_1:1 | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Finland | G_3:3 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| France | G_3:3 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Germany | G_1:1 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_3:3 | G_2:2 |
| Italy | G_1:1 | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Poland | G-4:4 | G_4:4 | G-1:1 | G_3:3 | G_2:2 |
| Spain | G_4:4 | G_4:4 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_2:2 |
| Sweden | G_3:3 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Switzerland | G_3:3 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| UK | G_2:2 | G_2:2 | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 |
| Belorussia | G_3:3 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_4:4 | G_2:2 |
| Russia | G_2:2 | G_2:2 | G_1:1 | G_3:3 | G_4:4 |
| Ukraine | G_4:4 | G_4:4 | G_3:3 | G_1:1 | G_2:2 |

Fig. 7.10. The classification of cases

In this figure incorrectly assigned objects are marked with an asterisk (*). Thus, the task of getting the correct groups is complete.

The classification of the cases of training samples aims to exclude from the training samples those objects that by their indicators do not correspond to most of the objects forming a homogeneous group. To do this, the metric of Mahalanobis defines the distance from all $n$ objects to the center of gravity of each group (the vector of averages), which are determined by the training sample. The assignment of the $i$-th object to the $j$-th group is considered false if the distance of Mahalanobis from the object to the center of its group is much longer than from the object to the center of other groups, and the
a posteriori probability of falling into its group is below the critical value. In this case, the object is considered incorrectly assigned and should be excluded from the sample.

The exclusion of the object from the training samples means that in the table of output data in the object which should be excluded from the sample (it is marked with "*"), the number of belonging to this group is removed, after which the testing process is repeated. By assumption, at first the object which is least suitable to a certain group is chosen, that is, the object for which the greatest distance is Mahalanobis and a posteriori probability is the least.

When removing another object from a group it is necessary to remember that at the same time the center of gravity of the group (the vector of averages) is shifted since it is determined by the rest of the observations. After removing the next item from the list of training samples, it is possible that new incorrectly assigned objects will appear, which, prior to deletion, have been taken into account as being properly classified. Therefore, this procedure should be carried out by deleting only one object at each step and returning it to the training sample.

The procedure for excluding observations continues as long as the overall correlation coefficient in the classification matrix reaches $100 \%$, that is, all observations of the training samples will be correctly assigned to the corresponding groups. The results of the received training samples are presented in the Discriminant Function Analisis Results window. As a result of the analysis, the total coefficient of correctness of the training samples should be 100 \%.

On the basis of the received training samples, it is possible to conduct a reclassification of those objects that are not included in the training sample and any other objects subject to the grouping, therefore it is necessary to specify the basis of classification functions.

To do this, in the Discriminant Function Analysis Results window, click Classification functions (Fig. 7.11). A window will appear, from which it is possible to write the classification functions for each class.



Fig. 7.11. Classification functions

Countries with an average level of energy resources and high energysaving technologies $=-1.479 \mathrm{X} 1+0.0217 \mathrm{X} 2-0.1098 \mathrm{X} 3-1.994 \mathrm{X} 4-0.014 \mathrm{X} 5$.

Absolutely energy independent countries with large own energy reserves but inefficient use of energy resources $=-33.365 \mathrm{X} 1-11.154 \mathrm{X} 2-41.812 \mathrm{X} 3+$ + 86.011X4 + 25.143X5.

Countries with low energy resources and high levels of energy saving technologies $=8.657 \mathrm{X} 1+1.1139 \mathrm{X} 2+4.759 \mathrm{X} 3-13.16 \mathrm{X} 4-5.047 \mathrm{X} 5$.

Countries with an average level of energy resources and very low energy-saving technologies $=10.281 \mathrm{X} 1+5.543 \mathrm{X} 2+20.123 \mathrm{X} 3-32.08 \mathrm{X} 4-$ - 8.327X5.

With these functions, it will be possible to further classify new cases. New cases will refer to the class for which the classification value will be maximal. The choice of the method of final classification depends on the
number of new objects subject to classification. If the number of new cases is small, you can apply a method based on statistical criteria. If the number of new cases is large, it is more rational than the training samples to obtain classification functions and then, define the formulas and hold the final classification.

For more detailed information, it is possible to review the results of a canonical analysis that can be performed if at least three groups have been selected and at least two variables in the model are selected by clicking the Perform canonical analysis button (Fig. 7.12).


Fig. 7.12. Choosing the canonical analysis
A canonical analysis window appears in which the Scatterplot of canonical scores option is used to construct the next scatterplot for values. With this diagram, it is possible to determine the contribution that each discriminating function places in the distribution between the groups (Fig. 7.13).

| Select canonical roots for... |  | ? $\quad \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First root (X): | Second root | QK |
| Root 1 | Root 1 |  |
| Root 2 Root 3 | Root 2 <br> Root 3 | Cancel |
|  |  | The soatterplot will be produced for the selected canonical roots |

Fig. 7.13. Selection of parameters of the canonical analysis

The graph of scattering of canonical values for canonical roots is presented in Fig. 7.14.


Fig. 7.14. Visualization of the canonical analysis

Conclusion. Thus, the classification of countries depending on the level of energy security by the cluster analysis method is adequate. In the course of discriminant analysis, the functions that can be used in the future for assigning a particular country to one of the 4 classes are constructed.
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