

eoss-conf.com



ISSUE
№58



EUROPEAN OPEN
SCIENCE SPACE

COLLECTION OF SCIENTIFIC PAPERS



5th INTERNATIONAL
SCIENTIFIC
AND PRACTICAL
CONFERENCE

SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION:
BRIDGING THEORY
AND PRACTICE

OCTOBER 20-22, 2025, BERLIN, GERMANY





**EUROPEAN OPEN
SCIENCE SPACE**

Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific
and Practical Conference

**"Scientific Exploration: Bridging Theory
and Practice"**

October 20-22, 2025

Berlin, Germany

Collection of Scientific Papers

Berlin, 2025

UDC 01.1

Collection of Scientific Papers with the Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific and Practical Conference «Scientific Exploration: Bridging Theory and Practice» (October 20-22, 2025. Berlin, Germany). European Open Science Space, 2025. 297 p.

ISBN 979-8-89704-960-8 (series)

DOI 10.70286/EOSS-20.10.2025



The conference is included in the Academic Research Index ReserchBib International catalog of scientific conferences.



The conference is registered in the database of scientific and technical events of UkrISTEI to be held on the territory of Ukraine (Certificate №558 dated 16.06.2025).



The materials of the conference are publicly available under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 International license.

The materials of the collection are presented in the author's edition and printed in the original language. The authors of the published materials bear full responsibility for the authenticity of the given facts, proper names, geographical names, quotations, economic and statistical data, industry terminology, and other information.

ISBN 979-8-89704-960-8 (series)



© Participants of the conference, 2025
© Collection of scientific papers, 2025
© European Open Science Space, 2025

Кібенко Л.М. ІННОВАЦІЙНІ ПРАКТИКИ У СФЕРІ НЕФОРМАЛЬНОЇ ТА ІНФОРМАЛЬНОЇ ОСВІТИ.....	206
Білик О.В., Куцук О.Г., Зейкан О.М., Симканич В.М. СОЦІАЛІЗАЦІЯ УЧНІВ МОЛОДШОЇ ШКОЛИ ЗАСОБАМИ МИСТЕЦЬКО-ТЕХНОЛОГІЧНИХ ОСВІТНІХ ПРАКТИК.....	209
Борецька Г.Е. ВИКОРИСТАННЯ ПЛАТФОРМИ MICROSOFT TEAMS У ЗМІШАНОМУ ФОРМАТІ НАВЧАННЯ ВИБІРКОВИХ ДИСЦИПЛІН У ЗАКЛАДІ ВИЩОЇ ОСВІТИ.....	213
Пальок І., Кертис Н. ОРГАНІЗАЦІЯ ІНКЛЮЗИВНОГО СЕРЕДОВИЩА В ДОШКІЛЬНИХ ЗАКЛАДАХ ДЛЯ ЗАБЕЗПЕЧЕННЯ РОЗВИТКУ ДІТЕЙ З ОСОБЛИВИМИ ОСВІТНІМИ ПОТРЕБАМИ.....	216
Артеменко Г. ОСОБЛИВОСТІ МОВНОЇ ПІДГОТОВКИ ФАХІВЦІВ З БОГОСЛОВ'Я.....	218
Завальнюк І.Я., Гулієва Е.А. НЕЗАВЕРШЕНІ СИНТАКСИЧНІ КОНСТРУКЦІЇ КОНСТАТАЦІЙНОГО ЗМІСТУ В МОВІ СУЧАСНИХ УКРАЇНСЬКИХ ГАЗЕТ.....	221
Luhova V., Bogancha M. MODERN METHODS OF WORKING WITH GIFTED STUDENTS IN ARTS EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.....	225
<u>Section: Physical and mathematical sciences</u>	
Ищенко Л. ФІЗИКА І ФІЛОСОФІЯ В ОСВІТНЬОМУ ПРОЦЕСІ: ЄДНІСТЬ НАУКОВОГО ЗНАННЯ ТА СВІТОГЛЯДНОГО МИСЛЕННЯ.....	232
Заночкіна М. ВІД АНАЛІТИЧНИХ МОДЕЛЕЙ ДО НАБЛИЖЕНИХ МЕТОДІВ: ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ МЕРЕЖ СИСТЕМ МАСОВОГО ОБСЛУГОВУВАННЯ У ТЕОРІЇ ТА ПРАКТИЦІ.....	236

5. Марич С. М. Структурні і смислові особливості парцельованих речень. Українське мовознавство. 1988. № 15. С. 75–78.
6. Пітель В. І. Функціонально-семантична структура незакінчених речень у сучасній українській мові: автореф. дис. ... канд. філол. наук: 10.02.01. Івано-Франківськ, 2000. 19 с.
7. Сучасна українська літературна мова: Синтаксис / за заг. ред. І. К. Білодіда. Київ: Наук. думка, 1972. 516 с.
8. Шабат-Савка С. Т. Незакінчене висловлення як емоційно-експресивний маркер мовотворчості Ірини Вільде. Вчені записки ТНУ імені В. І. Вернадського. Серія: Філологія. Журналістика. 2022. Т. 33 (72). № 6. Ч. 1. С. 58–64.

MODERN METHODS OF WORKING WITH GIFTED STUDENTS IN ARTS EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Luhova Viktoriia

PhD (Economics), Associate Professor,
Associate Professor of the Department of Social Economics
ORCID: 0000-0001-9584-2259
Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics

Bogancha Maryna

Deputy Director for Academic Affairs
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8498-374X>
Municipal Institution of Arts Education
"Children's Art School No. 6" of the Kharkiv City Council
Ukraine

Abstract. The article examines contemporary pedagogical methods for working with gifted students in arts education institutions, aimed at the comprehensive development of their creative potential, emotional intelligence, and professional self-realization. Based on the analysis of current research and practical experience, the study identifies key directions in fostering artistic giftedness – individualization of learning, project-based and research activities, mentoring, art coaching, creative laboratories, digital technology integration, and a reflective-analytical approach. It is substantiated that effective work with gifted students requires the creation of a flexible educational environment that combines traditional and innovative teaching methods, stimulates creativity, critical thinking, and social engagement. Practical recommendations are proposed to improve the system of working with gifted students in arts education institutions.

Keywords: giftedness, arts education, creative development, pedagogical methods, individualized learning, mentoring, art coaching, creativity, digital technologies.

In the modern system of arts education, the issue of working with gifted students has gained particular relevance. The growing competition in the cultural and educational spheres, increasing professional demands, and the need to develop social and emotional maturity highlight the importance of creating conditions for the development of talented students' creative potential. Artistic giftedness manifests not only in technical mastery but also in the ability for artistic self-expression, critical thinking, emotional sensitivity, and communicative competence.

Effective work with gifted students requires the application of modern methods that ensure the holistic development of personality by integrating educational, creative, and social components. It is crucial to combine individualized approaches, differentiated learning, project-based activities, the use of digital technologies, and active participation in cultural and community life.

The purpose of this article is to systematize contemporary pedagogical methods of working with gifted students in arts education institutions and to determine their effectiveness in shaping a creative, socially active, and professionally competent personality.

The problem of working with gifted students in arts education remains one of the key topics in contemporary pedagogical science. Recent research emphasizes the need for an integrated approach that combines cognitive, emotional, social, and creative dimensions of personal development.

V. Bağrıaçık and S. Emir (2023) explore the influence of arts education on the professional orientations of gifted students, revealing that early involvement in artistic and musical activities fosters self-awareness and career aspirations. F. Baccassino and S. Pinnelli (2023), in their systematic review, emphasize the importance of individualized instruction and the application of developmental models such as the Schoolwide Enrichment Model (SEM) for optimizing student abilities.

I. García-Martínez et al. (2021) analyze educational interventions for gifted students, particularly in the arts, and identify effective strategies for developing creative skills. K. Zhbanova (2018) suggests integrating the arts into STEM education as a means of motivating and developing gifted learners by merging creativity and critical thinking. N. Brink (2025) examines autonomy, creativity, and authenticity among gifted students in technological and arts education, emphasizing the need for supportive and stimulating learning environments.

Z. Dong and Y. Zhang (2024) investigate the role of arts education in enhancing resilience among gifted students, demonstrating that artistic engagement contributes to emotional well-being and adaptability. S. Habib et al. (2025) argue that creative pedagogy in higher education fosters critical thinking and creativity – principles also applicable to secondary arts education.

C. Sánchez et al. (2023) highlight the importance of emotional support for gifted learners, as positive attitudes toward learning directly affect motivation and productivity. D. Baiden (2021) demonstrates the effectiveness of enrichment programs for developing creativity and social adaptation among gifted children.

M. Brajčić, D. Kuscevic, and M. Lazeta (2020) analyze modern approaches to fostering artistic giftedness, noting the need for differentiated instruction and independent creative work. The American Psychological Association (APA, 2020) provides practical guidelines for teachers, including creativity development, individualized instruction, and the use of interdisciplinary strategies.

The overall analysis of scholarly literature indicates that modern pedagogical practice in working with gifted students in arts institutions should rely on a multi-level approach that combines early identification of giftedness, individualized learning, creation of a stimulating cultural-artistic environment, and the development of emotional and social competence. Studies confirm the need to bridge theoretical models with practical implementation to ensure maximum creative growth and professional readiness in the arts.

Pedagogical activity in arts education institutions requires combining the traditions of the academic school with innovative technologies that foster creative engagement, aesthetic thinking, and self-expression. Modern methods of working with gifted students emphasize individualized development, co-creativity between teacher and student, the use of interdisciplinary connections, digital tools, and socio-cultural practices.

The approach to working with gifted students is based on the principles of humanization, variability, reflexivity, creativity, and partnership interaction. This implies the rejection of authoritarian control in favor of pedagogical support, facilitation, and mentorship. The teacher becomes not merely a transmitter of knowledge but a catalyst of the creative process, creating a safe space for experimentation, discovery, and self-expression.

Below is a generalized overview of key modern pedagogical methods for working with gifted students in arts education institutions (Table 1).

Table 1 Modern Methods of Working with Gifted Students in Arts Education

Method	Essence and Purpose of Application	Expected Outcomes	Examples of Use in Arts Education
Individualized Learning	Involves designing personalized educational trajectories that take into account the student's abilities, developmental pace, and emotional needs.	Development of autonomy, responsibility, deeper self-awareness, and increased motivation for creativity.	Individual performance lessons, personalized creative projects.
Project-Research Method	Aimed at creating artistic products (musical, visual, theatrical) through exploration, research, and interpretation of cultural phenomena.	Development of research, analytical thinking, collaboration, and self-presentation skills.	Interdisciplinary creative projects, art performances, mini-research in art history.

Continuation of Table 1

Method	Essence and Purpose of Application	Expected Outcomes	Examples of Use in Arts Education
Mentoring and Tutorship	A partnership interaction between an experienced teacher and a student aimed at supporting creative growth and professional self-identification.	Enhanced self-awareness, development of leadership and communication skills.	Individual consultations, participation in creative competitions under mentor supervision.
Art Coaching	A personality-oriented support methodology combining artistic self-expression with reflection and goal-setting techniques.	Development of emotional intelligence, confidence in creative decisions, and the ability to manage one's potential.	Coaching sessions with students of art, music, or theater specialties.
Creative Laboratories (Art-Labs)	Creation of an interactive space for experimentation, improvisation, and inter-genre collaboration.	Stimulation of innovative thinking, creativity, and teamwork.	Musical improvisation labs, plein-air workshops, creative studios.
Integration of Digital Technologies	Use of multimedia platforms, digital studios, VR/AR tools, and online galleries to expand the educational environment.	Increased digital literacy, integration of art with new technologies.	Online composition courses, digital exhibitions, virtual concerts.
Reflective-Analytical Method	Involves systematic self-assessment by students of their achievements and critical reflection on the creative process.	Development of self-reflection, awareness of individual style, readiness for self-improvement.	Maintaining creative journals, portfolios, group discussions of artworks.

Working with gifted students in the system of arts education requires a special pedagogical culture aimed at supporting natural abilities, developing creative initiative, and shaping a harmonious, socially active personality. An analysis of contemporary scientific sources and pedagogical practice demonstrates that the effectiveness of the educational process depends on teachers' ability to create an individually oriented, emotionally rich, and culturally dynamic environment in which a gifted student can realize their potential.

The application of a systemic approach to the development of artistic giftedness makes it possible to combine the cognitive, emotional, spiritual, and social dimensions of personal formation. Gifted students, unlike average learners, possess a different pace of thinking, heightened sensitivity to aesthetic phenomena, and a tendency toward self-criticism and perfectionism. Therefore, the educational process should not be a competition but rather a partnership-based collaboration, where the teacher acts as a mentor, facilitator, and inspirer of creative discovery.

The modern methods under consideration – individualization of learning, project-research activities, mentoring, art coaching, creative laboratories, integration of digital technologies, and the reflexive-analytical approach – form a comprehensive system for developing giftedness. They aim not only to enhance professional skills but also to foster the growth of a mature personality capable of self-development, cooperation, and social responsibility.

Individualization provides freedom of creative choice and adaptation of educational content to a learner's personal interests. The project-research method cultivates critical and creative thinking, as well as the ability to apply knowledge in an interdisciplinary context. Mentoring and art coaching promote reflection, the development of intrinsic motivation, and the setting of professional goals and self-improvement strategies. Creative laboratories provide a space for experimentation, collaboration, and innovative interpretations of artistic phenomena, encouraging the search for one's own style and artistic identity.

The integration of digital technologies opens up new opportunities for self-presentation, participation in virtual exhibitions and international competitions, and remote collaboration. It fosters the digital culture of the modern artist, capable of combining traditional and innovative forms of artistic creativity. The reflexive-analytical approach ensures deep self-awareness, self-regulation, and self-knowledge, which enhances independence and professional maturity among gifted students.

The interaction of all these methods creates a synergistic effect, whereby the development of giftedness transcends the boundaries of traditional education and takes the form of a cultural and educational partnership. As a result, arts education becomes a space for innovative thinking, social interaction, and creative self-expression, corresponding to the demands of contemporary society.

From a practical standpoint, several recommendations can be outlined to improve work with gifted students in arts education institutions:

- develop individual educational trajectories combining academic, creative, and research components;

- provide psychological support to reduce emotional overload, enhance stress resilience, and promote social adaptation;

- implement interdisciplinary projects that unite different art forms – music, painting, theatre, design, and digital art – as a means of cultivating innovative thinking;

- improve teachers' qualifications in art psychology, coaching, digital pedagogy, and the pedagogy of giftedness;

- develop mentorship systems in cooperation with leading artists, cultural institutions, and creative communities;

- actively integrate digital technologies (online galleries, virtual stages, digital archives) to expand the educational environment;

- create collaborative creative spaces where gifted students feel part of a team capable of interaction, idea exchange, and cultural dialogue.

Thus, the modern pedagogical model for working with gifted students in arts education should be based on the integration of innovative methods, interdisciplinarity, and a deep understanding of the psychological nature of talent. Such an approach not only fosters the development of individual abilities but also forms a new type of creative personality – self-sufficient, reflective, socially active, and capable of cultural leadership in a globalized world.

Future research by the authors will focus on studying the effectiveness of integrating digital and art-coaching technologies into teacher training systems in art institutions, developing models of psychological and pedagogical support for gifted students, and creating methodological recommendations for building a holistic environment that nurtures the creative potential of youth.

References

1. Bağrıaçık B., Emir S.(2023).The Effect of The Art Education Status of Gifted Students on Their Professional Preferences.International Journal of Modern Education Studies, 7(1), 128-152. <https://doi.org/10.51383/ijonmes.2023.241>
2. Baccassino F., & Pinnelli S. (2023). Giftedness and gifted education: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, Article 1073007. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.1073007>
3. García-Martínez I., Gutiérrez Cáceres R., Luque de la Rosa A., León S.P. Analysing Educational Interventions with Gifted Students. Systematic Review. *Children (Basel)*. 2021 May 3;8(5):365. doi: 10.3390/children8050365.
4. Zhdanova K. S. (2018). Science through art: Motivating gifted and talented students. *Journal of STEM Arts, Crafts, and Constructions*, 3(2), 9-23.
5. Brink H. (2025). The Complexity, Autonomy, Authenticity, and Support (CAAS) Framework for Gifted Students' Needs in Technology Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Roeper Review*, 47(2), 125–135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02783193.2025.2466514>
6. Dong Z., & Zhang Y. (2024). Unveiling the potential of fine arts education in enhancing resilience among Chinese gifted students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1402725. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1402725>
7. Habib, S., Vogel, T., & Thorne, E. (2025). Student perspectives on creative pedagogy: Considerations for the Age of AI. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 56, 101767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2025.101767>
8. Sanchez C., & Blanc N. (2023). Feelings about School in Gifted and Non-Gifted Children: What Are the Effects of a Fine Art Program in Primary School? *Education Sciences*, 13(5), 512. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13050512>
9. Baiden D. (2021). Meeting the Unique Needs of Gifted Students Through an Arts-Integrated, Project-Based Learning Opportunity: The EncycloMedia Project. *Gifted Child Today*, 44(3), 151-170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10762175211008516>
10. Brajčić M., Kuscevic D., & Lazeta M. (2020). A Comparison between competencies of teachers and students of teacher education in recognizing artistically

gifted students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9 (3), 1327-1336.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.3.1327>

11. American Psychological Association. (2020). Supporting gifted students in the classroom: Strategies for teachers. APA. <https://www.apa.org/education/k12/support-gifted-students>