DEVELOPMENT OF A SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFYING AND ANALYZING SYSTEMIC RISKS IN COMPLEX TECHNOLOGICAL SYSTEMS BASED ON AN OBJECT-ORIENTED APPROACH

Tetina Stoliarenko, PhD in Pedagogy

Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics, Nauky Avenue, 9A, 61166, Kharkiv, Ukraine

Machine authorship with human curatorship

Stanford University. (n.d.). *Storm*. Retrieved August 12, 2025, from https://storm.genie.stanford.edu/

Summary The development of a system for identifying and analyzing systemic risks in complex technological systems based on an object-oriented approach represents a significant advancement in the field of risk management, particularly within industries characterized by intricate interdependencies and advanced technologies. As sectors such as aerospace, automotive, and energy become increasingly reliant on interconnected systems and big data, the ability to effectively assess and mitigate risks is paramount for ensuring safety and operational integrity. [1] [2]

This approach not only enhances the understanding of potential failures but also addresses the growing complexities associated with modern technological environments. Key theoretical foundations underpinning this system include the Unified Foundational Ontology (UFO) and principles of simplicity, which guide the modeling of risk scenarios and promote clarity in system design. [3] [4]

Methodologically, the incorporation of user-centric perspectives, architectural patterns, and object-oriented programming (OOP) principles facilitates a more modular and adaptable framework for risk analysis. These methodologies emphasize the significance of encapsulation, inheritance, polymorphism, and abstraction in creating robust systems that can respond to evolving threats and requirements. [5] [6] [7] [8]

Despite its promise, the implementation of this object-oriented approach to risk management is not without challenges. Developers often encounter issues such as unrealistic expectations, code complexity, and resistance to new technologies, which can hinder effective adoption and integration. [9] [6]

Furthermore, balancing performance with encapsulation and determining the appropriate levels of abstraction remain critical hurdles to overcome in ensuring the success of these systems. [6] [10]

In conclusion, the ongoing evolution of risk management methodologies that incorporate object-oriented approaches is essential for addressing the complexities of modern technological systems. Future research and development must focus on enhancing these frameworks through the integration of emerging technologies and continuous adaptation, ultimately fostering a proactive stance towards systemic risk assessment and management. [11] [12] [13]

Background The development of systems for identifying and analyzing systemic risks in complex technological environments has gained prominence due to the increasing complexity of modern industries, including aerospace, automotive, and energy sectors. These sectors have embraced cutting-edge technologies, leading to interconnected systems that generate substantial amounts of data, often referred to as big data [1]. This complexity introduces challenges in managing risks and ensuring safety, prompting a demand for innovative risk management methods that can effectively address these dynamics [2].

Theoretical Foundations Theoretical approaches to risk management in complex systems often draw from foundational ontological principles. For instance, the Unified Foundational Ontology (UFO) has been proposed to establish a coherent understanding of events and their semantic structures, which can be instrumental in modeling risk scenarios [3] . Furthermore, the complexity of these systems requires a focus on clarity and maintainability, as advocated by the principle of “KISS” (Keep It Simple, Stupid), which emphasizes avoiding unnecessary complexity in engineering designs [4].

Methodological Approaches Recent advancements in the methodologies employed for risk management in complex systems have emphasized a user-centric perspective. This includes the use of user stories that articulate system functionalities at varying levels of abstraction, allowing for a clear understanding of both user needs and system internals [14]. Additionally, architectural patterns such as the Anti-Corruption Layer and Strangler Fig Pattern are critical in ensuring system integrity while facilitating integration with legacy systems [15].

Challenges and Considerations The transition to utilizing new technologies in systems engineering is often fraught with pitfalls, particularly during the initial adoption phase. Common issues include unrealistic expectations and misuse of new tools, which can impede effective project execution [9]. Moreover, the complexity of object-oriented programming (OOP) can introduce challenges such as tight coupling and performance issues, which must be carefully managed to ensure the long-term viability of software solutions [16].

Importance of the Object-Oriented Approach in Analyzing Systemic Risks In the development of systems for identifying and analyzing systemic risks, the object-oriented approach provides several advantages. The encapsulation of data and behaviors facilitates a modular design, allowing developers to isolate and address risks within specific components without compromising the integrity of the entire system. Moreover, the principles of inheritance and polymorphism contribute to a more resilient architecture that can adapt to changes and new threats over time [5] [6]. Furthermore, by employing rigorous design principles, such as type safety and thorough testing, developers can mitigate vulnerabilities associated with polymorphism and inheritance hierarchies. The emphasis on modularity also enhances the ability to implement security controls at the individual object level, thereby reinforcing the overall security posture of the system [7][17][18].

Methodology The methodology for identifying and analyzing systemic risks in complex technological systems through an object-oriented approach involves a structured combination of various techniques and tools designed to enhance risk management processes. This approach integrates traditional risk assessment methods with contemporary object-oriented practices, enabling more effective modeling and analysis of risks.

Object-Oriented Methods and Structured Approaches This methodology starts with the discussion of object-oriented methods alongside traditional structured methods. It emphasizes the importance of selecting the right method based on the specific context and requirements of the risk analysis task at hand [19]. Object-oriented approaches, such as those used in fault tree analysis and failure mode effects analysis (FMEA), allow for a more dynamic representation of system components and their interactions, leading to a better understanding of potential failure modes [20] [21].

Risk Assessment Tools Risk management tools are classified into various families, each serving different purposes in the risk assessment process. Tools such as HAZOP (Hazard and Operability Study) and PHA (Process Hazard Analysis) are commonly employed to analyze sequential combinations of functions, components, or events within a system [22] . Additionally, qualitative tools like brainstorming and interviews are essential for hazard identification and are complemented by quantitative tools for assessing and prioritizing risks [22].

Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) A key component of the methodology is the incorporation of Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA). PRA is a systematic approach used to quantify risks by analyzing the probabilities of initiating events and the failures of safety systems. This is particularly relevant in high-stakes industries, such as nuclear power and aerospace, where the consequences of system failures can be catastrophic [23] [21]. The methodology includes techniques such as sensitivity analysis and uncertainty propagation to account for inherent uncertainties in data and model assumptions [21].

Methodological Framework

The proposed methodology consists of several phases:

1. **Requirement Elicitation**: Engaging with users, specifically risk analysts, to capture their needs and expectations, thereby ensuring the relevance of the developed models [3].
2. **Model Development**: Creating concrete queries and models based on the elicited requirements that represent the system's functions and interactions [3].
3. **Implementation and Evaluation**: Developing a mock-up implementation to further evaluate the approach, focusing on the system's data flow and identifying vulnerabilities across various components and interfaces [14] [20].

Advanced Safety Methodologies Finally, the methodology incorporates advanced safety methodologies to enhance system safety through robust design principles. These methodologies emphasize risk identification, modeling, analysis, mitigation, and control, ensuring a comprehensive approach to safety in complex technological systems [23] [21]. By integrating these methodologies, the overall safety and reliability of the systems can be significantly improved, addressing both qualitative and quantitative aspects of risk management.

Case Studies Overview of Case Studies in Systems Engineering

The exploration of systemic risks within complex technological systems can be greatly enhanced through case studies that illustrate best practices and methodologies in systems engineering. These case studies provide practical insights into how various industries address critical challenges, demonstrating the application of both object-oriented approaches and systemic risk assessment frameworks.

Military Applications US Military Strategic Investments

A notable example includes the case study on "United States Military Partner Capacity," which employs system dynamics to quantify strategic investments within military contexts. The authors, John V. Farr, James R. Enos, and Daniel J. McCarthy, analyze how these investments can be strategically optimized for improved outcomes in defense acquisition [24].

US Air Force and Navy Systems

Additionally, the "US Air Force Network Infrastructure System-of-Systems Engineering Approach for IT Infrastructure," presented by Jeffrey Higginson, Tim Rudolph, and Jon Salwen, showcases a comprehensive approach to managing complex IT systems in a military environment. The emphasis on layered enterprise architectures in military systems reflects the need for flexible and decentralized control in high-stakes scenarios [24].

Transportation Sector NextGen Air Transport Network Transformation

In the realm of transportation, the case study "NextGen: Enterprise Transformation of the United States Air Transport Network," authored by Hamid R. Darabi and Mo Mansouri, explores the transformation of air traffic management systems. The study emphasizes the importance of employing advanced systems engineering methodologies to enhance operational efficiency while ensuring safety and reliability [24].

Airbus A380 and Boeing 787 Comparison

The contrasting architectures of the Airbus A380 and Boeing 787, examined by Michael J. Vinarcik, further illustrate how competing design philosophies in air transportation can affect safety, performance, and cost. This comparative analysis serves as a crucial reference for understanding how different approaches to system design impact overall risk management in aviation [24].

Healthcare Systems Emergency Management in Hospitals

The case study focused on "Assessing Emergencies and Reducing Errors in Hospitals and Health Care Systems" demonstrates how systemic risk assessments can be integrated into healthcare environments. By employing object-oriented analysis and design principles, healthcare organizations can develop more resilient systems capable of managing crises effectively [24].

Technology and Infrastructure

Information Fusion in Disaster Response

Another critical area is illustrated through the study on "Information Fusion and Operational Resilience in Disaster Response Systems." This case underscores the integration of technology and systems engineering to enhance response capabilities during emergencies, focusing on the importance of real-time data analysis and decision-making processes [24].

Challenges and Limitations

The development of systems for identifying and analyzing systemic risks in complex technological systems using an object-oriented design (OOD) approach faces several challenges and limitations that must be addressed to ensure efficacy and reliability.

Requirement Identification Pitfalls

One significant challenge is the risk of inaccurately identifying system requirements, which can lead to inflexible architectures that fail to accommodate future demands and modifications. [10]

This misalignment often arises during the Execute and Control phase of project development, highlighting the need for a thorough understanding of project goals and user needs. Developers must engage in meticulous analysis and design to avoid pitfalls associated with insufficiently defined requirements.

Risk Management in Complexity

As the complexity of systems continues to grow, especially in industries such as aerospace and energy,[1]

effective risk management becomes increasingly essential yet challenging. The integration of innovative risk management methods is vital for organizations to navigate potential threats and uncertainties effectively. Inadequate risk management can expose organizations to financial losses, reputational damage, and legal liabilities, making it imperative to address these limitations proactively.

Future Directions

As the field of systems engineering continues to evolve, the identification and analysis of systemic risks in complex technological systems necessitate innovative approaches and methodologies. The adoption of a risk analysis model framework based on object-oriented Bayesian networks (OOBN) represents a significant advancement in understanding the multifaceted interactions within these systems [11]

. This framework allows for the incorporation of emerging technologies, facilitating a more comprehensive assessment of risks that traditional methods may overlook.

Integration of Emerging Technologies

The increasing complexity of systems, particularly in industries such as aerospace, automotive, and energy, underscores the need for advanced risk management strategies [1]. Implementing cutting-edge technologies, automation, and interconnected systems can lead to a surge in complexity, making it vital for organizations to adopt innovative risk management methods. Future research should focus on integrating big data analytics to enhance analytical capabilities for risk analysis, providing organizations with tools to better anticipate and mitigate potential threats [12].

Application of Systemic Patterns

In exploring the dynamics of risk within socio-technical systems, the application of systemic patterns, as proposed by Haskins (2008) and discussed by Rebovich and DeRosa (2012), may yield valuable insights [13]. Patterns of success and failure can serve as benchmarks for understanding the behavior of complex systems. By applying these patterns to user stories in early development stages, engineers can construct robust threat models that inform secure-by-design strategies, thereby improving the overall security posture of the system [14].

Expansion of the Disruption Knowledge Base

Further research should focus on expanding the Disruption Knowledge Base (DKB), which establishes formal relations between elements in accident trees (ATs), fault trees (FTs), and object-at-risk (OaR) properties [3]. By operationalizing concepts of risk propagation and likelihood through object-oriented DisruptiOn Graphs (DOGs), practitioners can analyze disruption scenarios more transparently and make informed decisions regarding system safety and security [3]. This approach can bridge gaps left by traditional risk assessment methodologies, enhancing the understanding of how various factors contribute to systemic risk.

Continuous Learning and Adaptation

Given the infancy of the study of complex systems, it is crucial for systems engineers to engage in continuous learning and adaptation [24]. Establishing feedback mechanisms that allow for the incorporation of lessons learned into existing frameworks will promote an iterative process of risk assessment and management. As new challenges arise, these mechanisms can facilitate the timely evolution of risk management practices, ensuring they remain effective in the face of increasing complexity and uncertainty [22].

References

[1]: Pedro Villagran and Nan Ra (2025, May 25) *Understand and manage risks in complex systems using Systemic Blog* BlueKanGo *Blog*. Retrieved August 12, 2025, from https://www.blog-qhse.com/en/understand-and-manage-risks-in-complex-systems-using-systemic-accident-model

[2]: *Polycrisis and Systemic Risk: Assessment, Governance, and Communication springer* Nature jornal (2025, May 28). https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13753-025-00636-3

[3]: Stefano M. Nicoletti1 , E. Moritz Hahn , Mattia Fumagalli , Giancarlo Guizzardi , and Mariëlle Stoelinga an ontology-aWare risk AssessmenT approaCH via object-oriented DisruptiOn Graphs https://arxiv.org/html/2412.13964v2

[4]: Object-Oriented Design Principles: Mastering the Art of Clean Code : https://medium.com/@mail2mhossain/object-oriented-design-principles-mastering-the-art-of-clean-code-part-1-1e657e9e076e

[5]: Applying Systemic Threat Modelling to a Complex System – Medium https://medium.com/nationwide-technology/threat-modelling-for-securing-complex-systems-a-step-by-step-guide-87dc248c8c08

[6]: Milan Milanov What are the main Cloud Design Patterns? Tech World With Milan https://newsletter.techworld-with-milan.com/p/what-are-the-main-cloud-design-patterns

[7]: Object-Oriented Development - University of West Georgia https://www.westga.edu/~bquest/1997/object.html

[8]: Object-Oriented Programming Languages: Concept, Benefits, And ... https://www.webcreek.com/en/blog/software-development/object-oriented-programming-languages-key-features-and-benefits/

[9]: Making the Decision: Object-oriented Programming vs. Functional ... https://www.orientsoftware.com/blog/object-oriented-programming-vs-functional-programming/

[10]: Object-Oriented Design (OOD) - System Design - GeeksforGeeks https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/system-design/oops-object-oriented-design/

[11]: CISSP Study Essentials: Understanding OOP Principles https://www.examcollection.com/blog/cissp-study-essentials-understanding-oop-principles/

[12]: Object-oriented analysis and design – Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Object-oriented_analysis_and_design

[13]: An object-oriented approach to an IT risk management ... https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-0-387-34873-5_7

[14]: Technology Risk Assessment: Guide & Best Practices - LeanIX https://www.leanix.net/en/wiki/trm/technology-risk-assessment

[15]: Using Object-Oriented Methods https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780125184205500146

[16]: Risk Assessment User Interface Design's by using Object ... https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/847/1/012079/pdf

[17]: Advanced Safety Methodologies in Systems Engineering https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/advanced-safety-methodologies-systems-engineering

[18]: Risk management http://o3prm.gitlab.io/pages/risk-management.html

[19]: Model-based risk-oriented robust systems design with object ... https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/abs/10.1504/IJSEAM.2013.060467

[20]: Case Studies in System of Systems, Enterprise Systems, and ...https://www.routledge.com/Case-Studies-in-System-of-Systems-Enterprise-Systems-and-Complex-Systems-Engineering/Gorod-White-Ireland-Gandhi-Sauser/p/book/9781138199835?srsltid=AfmBOooNyeT8VzSpUg_r6sqWzO1RzDGLVdfZU-fKxVXIi2w2x41veZb1

[21]: [PDF] A Framework for Identifying and Understanding Risks in Information ... https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1057&context=jitim

[22]: Discovery of potential risks for the gas transmission station using ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0951832022006998

[23]: Identifying Design Patterns for Risk Management system using Big ...https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8300937/

[24]: Patterns of Systems Thinking – SEBoK https://sebokwiki.org/wiki/Patterns_of_Systems_Thinking